PROJECT:	Silver Drive Area Water	Line Improvements (LOAN)	Bid Date:	0	ctober 18, 2017
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:			Fields
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	4,530,185.34

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690236-100068	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Fields	Danbert	Darby Creek	Shelly & Sands	Beheler	
Engineer's Estimate:	\$3,951,742.64	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$4,530,185.34	\$4,659,737.15	\$4,806,388.78	\$4,932,950.30	\$5,032,354.57	
		2.86%	3.15%	2.63%	2.02%	-100.00%	

Part IA: F	Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for I	responsive bid)
а	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
с	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B9	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
d	If applicable, the bidder listed a (sub)contractor(s) with an active water or sewer contractor tappers license (CMS 107.02)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
e	Digital ID on bid is from a person authorized to enter into contract on behalf of the company ("name of person singing contract" on Signature Affidavit must match the name on the Digital ID). Proposal Signature Affidavit	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
f	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	

PROJECT:	Silver Drive Area Water	Line Improvements (LOAN)	Bid Date:	C	October 18, 2017
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:			Fields
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	4,530,185.34

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690236-100068	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	lanager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Fields	Danbert	Darby Creek	Shelly & Sands	Beheler	
Engineer'	's Estimate:	\$3,951,742.64	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$4,530,185.34	\$4,659,737.15	\$4,806,388.78	\$4,932,950.30	\$5,032,354.57	
PART IB: F	Responsiveness Cri	iteria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for r	responsive bid)
а		ed a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
b	are licensed constr	re listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors uction trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA	YES	NA	
с	qualified responsib	bcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- le or provisionally responsible licensed trade 29.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are	NA	NA	NA	YES	NA	
d	work under which t	re listed, the bidder listed the division/section of he sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no listed, enter "NA") FORM B5	NA	NA	NA	YES	NA	
e	subcontractor per of more than one sub	re listed and the bidder listed more than one division/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? to licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
f	trade subcontractor responsible. (329.2	affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction rs are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally (0)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade re listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA	YES	NA	
g		subcontractors who were currently suspended or y. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	

PROJECT:	Silver Drive Area Water	Line Improvements (LOAN)	Bid Date:	Oc	tober 18, 2017
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:			Fields
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	4,530,185.34

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690236-100068	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project N	lanager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Fields	Danbert	Darby Creek	Shelly & Sands	Beheler	
Engineer	's Estimate:	\$3,951,742.64	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$4,530,185.34	\$4,659,737.15	\$4,806,388.78	\$4,932,950.30	\$5,032,354.57	
PART IC: I	Responsiveness Cri	iteria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for I	responsive bid)
а		mit more than one bid for same work. (See ection for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
b	Bidder acknowled	ged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
с	requirements/speci (329.23)(f)(1)(c)	ith technical pre-qualification fication requirements where applicable.	YES	NA	NA	NA	NA	
d	The bid contains r invitation for bid. (3	no conditions or qualifications not provided in the 29.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
е	Bidder added no pr (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	rovisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
f	Bidder submitted a	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
g	Bidder did not sub FORM B2	mit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
h	Bid prices are mate	erially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B3	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
i		egularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid ((1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
j	(329.23)(f)(1)(g) F "NA")	a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. ORM B3 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
k		a lump sum price if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(h) sum is not an option, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
I		ed with section 329.20(h) regarding ?9.23)(f)(1)(k) FORM B5	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
m		in alterations, omissions, errors, such that it does documents. $(329.23)(f)(1)(j)$	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
n	Bid responds to bi (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	d documents in all material respects.	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	

PROJECT:	Silver Drive Area Water	Line Improvements (LOAN)	Bid Date:	C	October 18, 2017
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:			Fields
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	4,530,185.34

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690236-100068	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project N	lanager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Fields	Danbert	Darby Creek	Shelly & Sands	Beheler	
Engineer's Estimate: \$3,		\$3,951,742.64	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$4,530,185.34	\$4,659,737.15	\$ <mark>4,806,388.78</mark>	\$4,9 <mark>32,950.30</mark>	\$5,0 <mark>32,3</mark> 54.57	
0	Bid is complete.	(329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	

PROJECT:	Silver Drive Area Water	Line Improvements (LOAN)	Bid Date:	(October 18, 2017
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:			Fields
Section:	Distribution	Initial Apparent Low Bid :		\$	4,530,185.34

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690236-100068	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Fields	Danbert	Darby Creek	Shelly & Sands	Beheler	
Engineer's Estimate:	\$3,951,742.64	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$4,530,185.34	\$4,659,737.15	\$4,806,388.78	\$4,932,950.30	\$5,032,354.57	

		A "no" answer in Pa	A "no" answer in Part IE will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have a valid contract compliance no. prior to						
PART ID:	Responsiveness Criteria, Contract Compliance		contract execution.						
а	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has an active contract compliance number at the time of bid.	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO			
		Bid Responsive,	Bid Responsive,	Bid Responsive,	Bid Responsive,	Bid Responsive,	Waiver Legislation		
	Bid Responsiveness, Waiver Considerations:		Continue to Part II	Continue to Part II	Continue to Part II	Continue to Part II	Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office		
	Proceed to Part II?	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 4,530,185.34	Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Fields Excavating, Inc.	. Manually Enter Information

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	Silver Drive Area Wate	r Line Improvemen	ts (LOAN)	Bid I	Date:	October	18, 2017
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Responsive Low Bidder (from Tab I):				Fields Exca	vating, Inc.
Section:	Distribution		Responsive Low Bid (from Tab I):				4,530,185.34
	690236-100068	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME

CIP NO.	690236-100068	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Fields	Danbert	Darby Creek	Shelly & Sands	Beheler	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,951,742.64	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$ 4,530,185.34	\$ 4,659,737.15	\$ 4,806,388.78	\$ 4,932,950.30	\$ 5,032,354.57	0

Part IIA: 🗧	329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (1 point for each "yes", 0 for "no")							
а	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B3, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO			
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
с	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES			
	Responsibility Score:	8	8	8	8	7	0		
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO			

Manually Enter Information

ORD# 2914-2017

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PR	ROJECT:	Silver Drive Area Water	Line Improveme	ents (LOAN)	ents (LOAN) Bid Date:			October 18, 2017	
Departme	nt/Division:	Public Utilities / Water		Evaluated Low Bidde	er (from Tabs I and II):		Fields Exca	Fields Excavating, Inc.	
Section:		Distribution		Evaluated Low Bid	(from Tabs I and II) :		\$	4,530,185.34	
CIP No.		690236-100068	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
Project Ma	anager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Fields	Danbert	Darby Creek	Shelly & Sands	Beheler	NA	
Engineer's	s Estimate:	\$ 3,951,742.64	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	
			\$ 4,530,185.34	\$ 4,659,737.15	\$ 4,806,388.78	\$ 4,932,950.30	NA	NA	
To look up ii	f a hidder is local	IS BID LOCAL? use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO		
PART IIIA:		use the onice of construction riequalitication's its		X:\Construction Prequa	<u>lification</u>				
step 1	step 1 IF <u>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL</u> STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV. IF <u>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL</u> , CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)								

Part IIIB:	Part IIIB:						
step 2	Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	2.860%	6.097%	8.891%	NA	NA
	Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID?	YES	NO	NO	NO	NA	NA
step 4	If step 3 is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?						
step 5	INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO (\$0)						

Part IIIC:			
step 6	REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:	\$ 4,530,185.34	Manually Enter Amount
step 7	REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB:	Fields Excavating, Inc.	Manually Enter Information

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

PROJECT:	Silver Drive Area Water Line	Bid Date:	Oct	ober 18, 2017	
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Evaluated Low Bidder	(from Tabs I, II and III):	Fields	s Excavating, Inc.
Section:	Distribution	Evaluated Low Bid (fr	om Tabs I, II and III) :	\$	4,530,185

CIP No.	690236-100068		CO	ompany name	COMPANY NAME	C	ompany name	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.			Fields	Danbert		Darby Creek	Shelly & Sands	Beheler	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$	3,951,742.64		Bid Amount	Bid Amount		Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
			\$	4,530,185.34	\$ 4,659,737.15	\$	4,806,388.78	\$ 4,932,950.30	NA	NA
PART IV: 329.(17) Environment	tal Preference									
Apparent Low Bid:	\$	4,530,185.34	Appa	rent Low Bidder:	Fields Exc	avati	ng, Inc.			

PART IV: 32	29.(17) Environmental Preference						
step 1	Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer "YES" or "NO" for apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA"	YES	NA	NA	NA	NA	
step 2	Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid?	NA	YES	YES	YES	NO	
step 3	STOP. If apparent/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bid, then the apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps 4-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5. If the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, proceed to Steps 6 and 7.						
step 4	Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non- environmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid.	0.000%	2.860%	6.097%	8.891%	NA	NA
step 5	Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied).	\$-	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	NA	NA
step 6	Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it for both	\$ 4,530,185.34	\$ 4,639,737.15	\$ 4,786,388.78	\$ 4,912,950.30	NA	NA
step 7	Low Bid Amount: \$ 4,530,185.34 Low Bidder:	Fields Excavating, Inc.					
	•	•					

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent L	ow Bidder/Bid:	Fi	ields Excavating, In	C .	\$ 4,530,185.34	ן	
Pr	oject:	Silver D	Drive Area Water L				
Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)	With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.						
	Bidders	Fields	Danbert	Darby Creek	Shelly & Sands	Beheler	0
	Bid \$ Percent Difference btwn Bid	4,530,185.34	\$ 4,659,737.15	\$ 4,806,388.78	\$ 4,932,950.30	\$ 5,032,354.57	\$ -
	and Next Highest Bid	2.86%	3.15%	2.63%	2.02%	-100.00%	
	Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder? YES If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h? YES If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.						
Bid Guarantee Requirements	ts Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed. Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid? YES Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarantee submitted? YES						
	Bid Bond Bond on City's bond form Surety power of attorney Most recent surety financial statement Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certifica	ate of Compliance					
Contract Compliance	Are all subcontractors contract compliani If there are not any subcontractors, choo		the contract can be execut	ed.	YES]	
Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp	Is the corporation foreign or the individua		on-resident of the State of	Ohio?	NO]	
	If yes, business entity shall register with service of summons, in any action in law				N/A		
	This is required before the contract is aw http://www.sos.state.oh.us/	rarded. "Awarded" i	means before the Director	accepts/approves the bi	d		
Award recommendation: After	evaluation of the bids, I recommend the	following contrac	tor be awarded the contra	act for:			
Project Name:	Silver Drive Area Water Line Impro	vements (LOAN))				
Recommended Bidder: Contract Amount:	Fields Excavating, Inc. \$ 4,530,185.34						
Evaluator's Name: Evaluation Completion Date:	M. Smith 645-3776 10/23/2017						

ORD# 2914-2017

TAB 6: U.S. and Ohio EPA Requirements

version:	2/22/2017

PROJECT:	Silver Drive Area Water Line Improvements (LOAN)		Bid Date:	October 18, 2017	
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:		Fields	
Section:	Distribution	Initial Apparent Low Bid :		\$	4,530,185.34

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690236-100068	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	PANY NAME COMPANY NAME COMPA		COMPANY NAME
Project Manage	er: Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Fields	Danbert	Darby Creek	Shelly & Sands Beheler		
Engineer's Esti	mate: \$ 3,951,742.64	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 4,530,185.34	\$ 4,659,737.15	\$ 4,806,388.78	\$ 4,932,950.30	\$ 5,032,354.57	
		2.86%	3.15%	2.63%	2.02%	-100.00%	
		A "NO" answer will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have all forms completed and required information submitted prior to contract execution. Delay in providing this information may result in delay of loan award and affect project schedule.					
FORM B10	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the Contractor Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Certification Form	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
FORM B11	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the US EPA Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters Form	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
FORM B12.2	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has provided a completed and signed US EPA Form 6100-3 from each proposed subcontractor	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	
FORM B12.3	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned US EPA Form 6100-4	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	
GOOD FAITH EFFORTS	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has NOT met the EPA Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Utilization Goals of 1.3% MBE and 1.0% WBE , so documentation of Good Faith Efforts to solicit DBE participation has been provided	NA	No	Νο	NA	No	
FORM B14	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the American Iron and Steel (AIS) Acknowledgement Form	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
	ine American non and Steer (AIS) Acknowledgement Form						

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 4,530,185.34	Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB 6	Fields	Manually Enter Information

ORD# 2914-2017

TAB VII: Notes

Fields Excavating	Danbert	Darby Creek	Shelly & Sands	Beheler Excavating		
Tab 1, Part 10	C-c: Notarized Stateme	nt of HDD Experience	submitted?			
Doing work themselves.	Listed Precise Boring as a sub but no notarized documentation.	Listed Precise Boring as a sub but no notarized documentation. Submitted "Job Reference" sheetbut no mention of HDD work.	Listed Precise Boring as a sub but no notarized documentation.	Listed Precise Boring as a sub bu no notarized documentation.		
	Tab 2, Part IIA-a: Statis	stically Comparable?		11 10/ bigh ar than		
				11.1% higher than lowest bidder; marked "no".		
Tab 6, Form B13.1 thru 13.3 - MBE/WBE Goals Met:						
yes	B12.2: Did not list amounts to be spent for each vendor; only stated hourly rate. B12.3: missing	Did not list WBE vendor.	yes	Did not list WBE vendor.		
Tab 6, Good Faith Efforts req'd?						
		i Litorio req u:				

Other Bids:

NON-RESPONSIVE	NON-RESPONSIVE
Elite Excavating	Park Enterprise
Bid amount =	Bid amount =
\$4,667,613.10	\$4,918,775.29
Deemed non- responsive; construction prequalification expired 10/6/17.	Deemed non- responsive; vendor (no subs) does not have Water / Sewer Tappers license w/City.