PROJECT:	Fairwood Facility Fueling	Bid Date:	November 8, 2017	
Department/Division:	DPU/DOSD	Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:	Valor Contracting
Section:	Treatment Engineering	Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :	\$ 153,104.00

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		COMPANY NAME					
		Valor	Sunesis	The Rightor			
Project Manager:	Tyler Schweinfurth	Contracting	Construction	Company			
Engineer's Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount					
		\$153,104.00	\$ 366,740.00	\$ 416,840.00			
		139.54%	13.66%	-100.00%			

PART IA: F	Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid)					responsive bid)
а	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	NO	YES	YES			
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES	YES	YES			
С	date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible.	YES	YES	YES			
d	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
	BID IS:	NON-RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

PROJECT:	Fairwood Facility Fueling	Station Compliance Upgrades	Bid Date:		November 8, 2017
Department/Division:	DPU/DOSD	Initial Apparei	nt Low Bidder:		Valor Contracting
Section:	Treatment Engineering	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	153,104.00

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.			COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
			Valor	Sunesis	The Rightor			
Project M	lanager:	Tyler Schweinfurth	Contracting	Construction	Company			
Engineer ^b	's Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$153,104.00	\$ 366,740.00	\$ 416,840.00			
PART IB: F	Responsiveness Cri	teria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" FO	OR POSITIVE RESPONSI	OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	s" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а		ed a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	YES	YES	YES			
b	are licensed constru	e listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors uction trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	YES			
С	qualified responsibl	contractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- e or provisionally responsible licensed trade 9.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors	NA	NA	NA			
d	work under which the licensed trade subc	e listed, the bidder listed the division/section of ne sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no contractors are listed, enter "NA") FORM B6	NA	NA	NA			
е	subcontractor per d more than one sub (329.20)(h)(5) (If no "NA") FORM B6	e listed and the bidder listed more than one ivision/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? o licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA	NA	NA			
f	trade subcontractor responsible. (329.2)	affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction is are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally 0)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade e listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA			
g		subcontractors who were currently suspended or . (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES	YES	YES			
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

PROJECT:	Fairwood Facility Fueling	Station Compliance Upgrades	Bid Date:	November 8, 2017	
Department/Division:	DPU/DOSD	Initial Apparei	nt Low Bidder:		Valor Contracting
Section:	Treatment Engineering	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	153,104.00

		Pide from Apparent lowest to highest	Annaug-+1	21	21	A.L.	Fat.	Cul
CIP No.		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low COMPANY NAME	2nd COMPANY NAME	3rd COMPANY NAME	4th COMPANY NAME	5th COMPANY NAME	6th COMPANY NAME
CIP NO.						COMPANT NAME	COMPANT NAME	COWPANT NAME
			Valor	Sunesis	The Rightor			
Project Ma		Tyler Schweinfurth	Contracting	Construction	Company			
Engineer's	Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$153,104.00	\$ 366,740.00	\$ 416,840.00			
	•	teria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" FO	OR POSITIVE RESPONSI	OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а	referenced code se	mit more than one bid for same work. (See ction for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES	YES			
D	PAGE, page 2	ged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) BID SIGNATURE	YES	YES	YES			
С	requirements/specit (329.23)(f)(1)(c)	ith technical pre-qualification fication requirements where applicable.	NO	YES	YES			
A .	The bid contains n invitation for bid. (32)	o conditions or qualifications not provided in the 29.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES	YES			
е	Bidder added no pr (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	rovisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES	YES	YES			
	Bidder submitted a	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2 ,	YES	YES	YES			
~	Bidder did not sub FORM B2, FORM E	mit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) 33	YES	YES	YES			
h	Bid prices are mate	rially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B4	YES	YES	YES			
		egularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid (1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
j		a unit price for each contract item listed, if (1)(1)(g) FORM B4 (If a unit price is not an	NA	NA	NA			
		a lump sum price if required . (329.23)(f)(1)(h) sum is not an option, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES			
		ed with section 329.20(h) regarding 9.23)(f)(1)(k) FORM B6	YES	YES	YES			
m		in alterations, ommissions, errors, such that it e bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES	YES	YES			
n I	Bid responds to bid (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	d documents in all material respects.	YES	YES	YES			
0	Bid is complete. (32	29.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES			

PROJECT:	Fairwood Facility Fueling	Station Compliance Upgrades	Bid Date:		November 8, 2017
Department/Division:	DPU/DOSD	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:			Valor Contracting
Section:	Treatment Engineering	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	153,104.00

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		COMPANY NAME					
		Valor	Sunesis	The Rightor			
Project Manager:	Tyler Schweinfurth	Contracting	Construction	Company			
Engineer's Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount					
		\$153,104.00	\$ 366,740.00	\$ 416,840.00			
	BID IS:	NON-RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

PROJECT:	Fairwood Facility Fueling Station Compliance Upgrades			Bid l	Date:	November 8, 2017		
Department/Division:	DPU/DOSD			nt Low Bidder:			ntracting	
Section:	Treatment Engineering		Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :		\$	153,104.00	
	Bids from Assessment Lower the bishoots							
CID No.	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd COMPANY NAME	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
CIP No.		COMPANY NAME		COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
		Valor	Sunesis	The Rightor				
Project Manager:	Tyler Schweinfurth	Contracting	Construction	Company				
Engineer's Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	
		\$153,104.00	\$ 366,740.00	\$ 416,840.00				
PART ID: Responsiveness Cr The "Evaluated Lo number at the time	riteria, Contract Compliance w Bidder" has an active contract compliance	YES	t IE will not necessarily YES		onsive. Bidder must hexecution. YES	YES	YES	
namber at the time	e or bid.							
Bid Res	nonsivness Waiver Considerations:	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	
	Proceed to Part II?	NO	YES	YES				
LO	WEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 366,740.00	———	Manually Enter Amou	nt			
LOW	EST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Sunesis Construction Co		Manually Enter Inform	nation			

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	Fairwood Facility Fue	eling Station Com	pliance Upgrades	Bid	Date:	November 8, 2017		
Department/Division:	DPU/DOSD		Responsive Low Bidder (from Tab I):				struction Co.	
Section:	Treatment Engineering		Responsive Lov	v Bid (from Tab I):		\$ 366,740.		
CIP No.	0	COMPANY N	AME COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
Project Manager:	Tyler Schweinfurth	NA	Sunesis	The Rightor	NA	NA	NA	
Engineer's Estimate:	\$	- Initial Bid Am	nount Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	
		0	\$ 366,740.00	\$ 416,840.00	0	0	0	

PART IIA:	329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	ES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0	for "no")
а	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B3- Bid Price and Amount		YES	YES			
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6 , Experience , Comptency & Resources		YES	YES			
С	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6 , Experience , Comptency & Resources		YES	YES			
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources		YES	YES			
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources		YES	YES			
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B7		YES	YES			
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7		YES	YES			
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8		YES	YES			
	Responsibility Score:	0	8	8	0	0	0
	Continue to TAB 3?						



TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB:

PR	PROJECT: Fairwood Facility Fueling Station Con			Compliance Upgrades Bid Date:		Date:	November 8, 2017		
Department/Division: DPU/DOSD Section: Treatment Engineering			Evaluated Low Bidder (from Evaluated Low Bid (from				Sunesis Construction Co. \$ 366,740.00		
CIP No.		0	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
Project M	lanager: 's Estimate:	Tyler Schweinfurth -	NA Bid Amount	Sunesis Bid Amount	The Rightor Bid Amount	NA Bid Amount	NA Bid Amount	NA Bid Amount	
g	<u> </u>	_	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
PART III: 3	29.(01)(u) and 329.	212 Local Bidder							
		IS BID LOCAL?	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	YES	
To look up	if a bidder is local	use the Office of Construction Prequalification's	list located at	X:\Construction Prequa	lification				
PART IIIA:									
step 1	enter data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV. IF <u>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL</u> , CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)								
Part IIIB:	1								
step 2	Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
step 3	Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID?		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
step 4	FOLLOW PROCE	SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY DURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE 2 (INDICATE IF NA)?							
step 5	INPUT NEW BID A	MOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR							
Part IIIC:									
step 6	REVISED LOW	BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:	\$ 366,740.00	40.00 Manually Enter Amount					

Manually Enter Information

Sunesis

Construction Co.

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

PROJECT: Fairwood Facility Fueling Stat			tion Compliance Upgrades		Bid I	Date:	November 8, 2017		
Department/Division: DPU/DOSD			Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I, II and III)			Sunesis Construction Co.			
Section: Treatment Engineering				rom Tabs I, II and III) :		\$	366,740		
CIP No.		0		COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	anager:	Tyler Schweinfu	ırth	NA	Sunesis	The Rightor	NA	NA	NA
Engineer'	s Estimate:	\$	-	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
				NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
PART IV: 3	29.(17) Environmenta	_							
Арра	arent Low Bid:	\$	366,740.00	Apparent Low Bidder:	Sunesis Con	struction Co.			
PART IV: 3	29.(17) Environmenta	Il Preference							
step 1	Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer "YES" or "NO" for apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA"			NO	YES				
step 2	2 Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid?			NA	YES				
step 3 STOP. If apparent/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bid, then the apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps 4-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5.									
	If the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, proceed to Steps 6 and 7.								
step 4	Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non-environmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid.			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 5	Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied).			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 6	Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it for both		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
step 7	Low Bid Amount:	\$ 366,740.00	Low Bidder:	Sunesis Contruction Co.					

Manually Enter Amount Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Sunesis Contruction Co.	\$	366,740.00		
Project:	Fairwood Facility Fueling Station Compliance Upgrades				

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

Bidders	Valor Contracting	Sunesis Construction	The Rightor Company	0	0	0
Bid	\$ 153,104.00	\$ 366,740.00	\$ 416,840.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Percent Difference btwn Bid						
and Next Highest Bid	139.54%	13.66%	-100.00%			

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

Letter of Credit

LOC on City's LOC form

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

YES YES

Bid Gurantee Requirements

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarentee submitted?

YES YES

Bid Bond

Bond on City's bond form

Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Certified/Cashier's Check Drawn on a solvant bank

N/A

NO

Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp

Contract Compliance

Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed.

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?

If yes, then did they file with the Secretary of State a power of attorney designating them or their agent or the Secretary of State, as agent, for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity, or both, brought in the State of Ohio

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid

http://www.sos.state.oh.us/

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

Fairwood Facility Fueling Station Compliance Upgrades Project Name:

Sunesis Construction Co. Recommended Bidder: \$ 366,740.00 **Contract Amount:**

Melinda Cunningham Evaluator's Name:

11/8/2017 **Evaluation Completion Date:**

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Sunesis Contruction Co.	\$	366,740.00
Project:	Fairwood Facility Fueling Station Compliance	e Upg	grades

Additional Information for Departments:

State of Ohio - Ohio Auditor

This is the link to the State of Ohio Auditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html

Federal - System for Award Management

This is the link to the Federal System for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery" https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1

City of Columbus

Contact the Purchasing Office if you have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.

State of Ohio Debarment

This is the link to multiple state agencies that lists debarred companies.

<u>http://ofcc.ohio.gov/Resources/Debarments.aspx</u>

OSHA

This is the link to the US Department of Labor's website for OSHA violations

https://www.osha.gov

City of Columbus Office of Prequalifications

Pre-Qualification Office email: prequalification@columbus.gov

Pre-Qualification Office phone no: 645-0359

Construction Contract Evaluation Spreadsheets per Columbus City Code Section 329

Notes:

Valor Contracting requested to withdraw their bid on 11/9/2017