Instructions for Construction Bid Evaluations Effective 2/22/2017

1. Read and become knowledgeable of the requirements of Columbus City Code section 329 as it pertains to construction advertising, evaluation, and contracting.

Here is a link to City Code <u>Columbus City Code</u>

2. Enter information into the cells that are blank and grey. Do not enter info to cells that are blank and white as there may be a formula in that cell.

3. If you have questions about using the spreadsheet, contact Suzanna Gussler or Alex Cofield.

4. If you print the workbook in its entirety from the print screen as opposed to printing each worksheet separately ("active sheets"), you will print this page and the evaluation will begin on page 2 and go through page 13. If you print each workbook separately, the page numbering is different.

| version:2/22/2017 | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------|--------------------|
| PROJECT: | ntonville 1, Overbrook/ Chatha | m & Lateral Lining - Clintonville 1, C | Bid Date: | August 1, 2018 |
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities | Initial Apparent Lov | v Bidder: | BLD Services, LLC |
| Section: | Sewers & Drains | Initial Apparent Lo | ow Bid : | \$ 2,974,061.68 |

| | Bids from Apparent lowest to highest: | Apparent Low | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| CIP No. | 650871-110175 & 650872-11017 | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME |
| Project Manager: | Mark Timbrook | BLD Services, LLC | Musson Bros. Inc. | | | | |
| Engineer's Estimate: | \$ 4,238,184.50 | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount |
| | | \$ 2,974,061.68 | \$ 3,646,568.57 | | | | |
| | | 22.61% | -100.00% | | | | |

| Part IA: I | Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20) | INPUT "YES" FO | OR POSITIVE RESPONSI | OR "NO" FOR NEGAT | IVE RESPONSE (all "yes | s" answers needed for | responsive bid) |
|------------|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| а | The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c) | YES | Yes | | | | |
| b | The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1 | YES | Yes | | | | |
| c | date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. | YES | Yes | | | | |
| d | If applicable, the bidder listed a (sub)contractor(s) with an active water or sewer contractor tappers license (CMS 107.02) | YES | Yes | | | | |
| e | Digital ID on bid is from a person authorized to enter into contract on behalf of the company ("name of person singing contract" on Signature Affidavit must match the name on the Digital ID). Proposal Signature Affidavit | YES | Yes | | | | |
| f | There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e) | YES | Yes | | | | |
| | BID IS: | RESPONSIVE | RESPONSIVE | | | | |

| version:2/22/2017 | |
|-------------------|--|
|-------------------|--|

| PROJECT: | ntonville 1, Overbrook/ Chatham & Lateral Lining - Clintonville 1, C Bid Date: | | Bid Date: | August 1, 2018 |
|----------------------|--|---------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities | Initial Apparent Lo | w Bidder: | BLD Services, LLC |
| Section: | Sewers & Drains | Initial Apparent I | .ow Bid : | \$ 2,974,061.68 |

| | | Bids from Apparent lowest to highest: | Apparent Low | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th |
|------------|---|---|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| CIP No. | | 650871-110175 & 650872-11017 | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME |
| Project M | anager: | Mark Timbrook | BLD Services, LLC | Musson Bros. Inc. | | | | |
| Engineer' | s Estimate: | \$ 4,238,184.50 | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount |
| | | | \$ 2,974,061.68 | \$ 3,646,568.57 | | | | |
| PART IB: R | esponsiveness Cri | teria, Subcontractors (329.20) | INPUT "YES" FO | OR POSITIVE RESPONSI | OR "NO" FOR NEGAT | IVE RESPONSE (all "yes | " answers needed for | responsive bid) |
| а | | ed a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1) | YES | YES | | | | |
| b | are licensed constru | e listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors uction trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") | NA | YES | | | | |
| с | qualified responsibl | becontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- e or provisionally responsible licensed trade 9.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors IA ") | NA | YES | | | | |
| d | work under which th | e listed, the bidder listed the division/section of ne sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no listed, enter "NA") FORM B5 | YES | YES | | | | |
| e | subcontractor per d more than one sub (329.20)(h)(5) (If n "NA") FORM B5 | e listed and the bidder listed more than one ivision/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? o licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter | NA | YES | | | | |
| f | trade subcontractor responsible. (329.2 | affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction is are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally 0)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade e listed, enter "NA") | NA | YES | | | | |
| g | | subcontractors who were currently suspended or /. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5) | YES | YES | | | | |
| | | BID IS: | RESPONSIVE | RESPONSIVE | | | | |

| PROJECT: | ntonville 1, Overbrook/ Chatham & Lateral Lining - Clintonville 1, C Bid Date: | | | August 1, 2018 |
|----------------------|--|---------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities | Initial Apparent Lo | w Bidder: | BLD Services, LLC |
| Section: | Sewers & Drains | Initial Apparent L | ow Bid : | \$ 2,974,061.68 |

| | | Bids from Apparent lowest to highest: | Apparent Low | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th |
|------------|---|---|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| CIP No. | | 650871-110175 & 650872-11017 | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME |
| Project Ma | anager: | Mark Timbrook | BLD Services, LLC | Musson Bros. Inc. | | | | |
| Engineer's | s Estimate: | \$ 4,238,184.50 | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount |
| | | | \$ 2,974,061.68 | \$ 3,646,568.57 | | | | |
| | | teria, Bid Specific (329.23) | INPUT "YES" FC | OR POSITIVE RESPONSE | OR "NO" FOR NEGAT | VE RESPONSE (all "yes | " answers needed for | responsive bid) |
| а | | mit more than one bid for same work. (See ction for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a) | YES | YES | | | | |
| b | Bidder acknowledg | ged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) | YES | YES | | | | |
| с | requirements/specif (329.23)(f)(1)(c) | ith technical pre-qualification fication requirements where applicable. | YES | YES | | | | |
| d | The bid contains n invitation for bid. (32 | o conditions or qualifications not provided in the 29.23)(f)(1)(d) | YES | YES | | | | |
| е | Bidder added no pr (329.23)(f)(1)(f) | ovisions reserving right to accept or reject award. | YES | YES | | | | |
| f | Bidder submitted a | a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2 | YES | YES | | | | |
| g | Bidder did not sub FORM B2 | mit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) | YES | YES | | | | |
| h | Bid prices are mate | rially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B3 | YES | YES | | | | |
| i | | gularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid (1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e) | YES | YES | | | | |
| j | | a unit price for each contract item listed, if)(1)(g) FORM B3 (If a unit price is not an ') | YES | YES | | | | |
| k | | a lump sum price if required . (329.23)(f)(1)(h) sum is not an option, enter "NA") | NA | NA | | | | |
| I | | ed with section 329.20(h) regarding 9.23)(f)(1)(k) FORM B5 | YES | YES | | | | |
| m | | in alterations, omissions, errors, such that it does documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j) | YES | YES | | | | |
| n | Bid responds to bid (329.23)(f)(1)(e) | d documents in all material respects. | YES | YES | | | | |
| 0 | Bid is complete. (32 | 29.23)(f)(1)(e) | YES | YES | | | | |
| | | BID IS: | RESPONSIVE | RESPONSIVE | | | | |

| version:2/22/2017 | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------|--------------------|
| PROJECT: | ntonville 1, Overbrook/ Chatha | m & Lateral Lining - Clintonville 1, C | Bid Date: | August 1, 2018 |
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities | Initial Apparent Low | Bidder: | BLD Services, LLC |
| Section: | Sewers & Drains | Initial Apparent Lov | v Bid : | \$ 2,974,061.68 |

| | Bids from Apparent lowest to highest: | Apparent Low | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| CIP No. | 650871-110175 & 650872-11017 | COMPANY NAME |
| Project Manager: | Mark Timbrook | BLD Services, LLC | Musson Bros. Inc. | | | | |
| Engineer's Estimate: | \$ 4,238,184.50 | Initial Bid Amount |
| | | \$ 2,974,061.68 | \$ 3,646,568.57 | | | | |

| PART ID: | Responsiveness Criteria, Contract Compliance | A "no" answer in Pa | rt IE will not necessar | ily render a bid non-res contract | ponsive. Bidder must execution. | have a valid contract c | ompliance no. prior to |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| а | The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has an active contract compliance number at the time of bid. | YES | YES | | | | |
| | Bid Responsiveness, Waiver Considerations: | Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II | Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II | Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office |
| | Proceed to Part II? | YES | YES | | | | |

| LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I | \$ 2,974,061.68 | Manually Enter Amount |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I | BLD Services, LLC | Manually Enter Information |

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

| PROJECT: | intonville 1, Overbrook/ Chatha | n & Lateral Lining - Clintonville 1, O | Bid Date: | August 1, 2018 |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|------------|--------------------|
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities | Responsive Low Bidder (fr | om Tab I): | BLD Services, LLC |
| Section: | Sewers & Drains | Responsive Low Bid (from | m Tab I): | \$ 2,974,061.68 |

| CIP No. | 650871-110175 & 650872-11017 | | COMPA | OMPANY NAME COMPANY NAME C | | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | |
|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Project Manager: | Mark Timbrook | | BLD Sei | rvices, LLC | Mus | son Bros. Inc. | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Engineer's Estimate: | \$ | 4,238,184.50 | Initial Bid Amount | | Initial Bid Amount | | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount |
| | | | \$2, | ,974,061.68 | \$ | 3,646,568.57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| PART IIA: 3 | 29.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria | INPUT "' | YES" FOR POSITIVE RES | PONSE OR "NO" FOR N | EGATIVE RESPONSE (1 | point for each "yes", 0 | for "no") |
|-------------|---|----------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| а | Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B3, SPECIFICATIONS | YES | YES | | | | |
| b | The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS | YES | YES | | | | |
| с | The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS | YES | YES | | | | |
| d | The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B7 | YES | YES | | | | |
| е | The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B7 | YES | YES | | | | |
| f | The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B7 | YES | YES | | | | |
| g | The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7 | YES | YES | | | | |
| h | The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8 | YES | YES | | | | |
| | Responsibility Score: | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Continue to TAB 3? | YES | YES | YES | YES | | |

Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

| PROJECT: | nville 1, Overbrook/ Chatha | am & Lateral Lining - Clintonville | Bid Date: | Aug |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities | Evaluated Low Bidde | er (from Tabs I and II): | BLD S |
| Section: | Sewers & Drains | Evaluated Low Bid | (from Tabs I and II) : | \$ |

| CIP No. | 650871-1101 | 75 & 650872-1101 | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAM |
|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| Project Manager: | Mark Timbro | ok | BLD Services, LLC | Musson Bros. Inc. | NA | NA | NA |
| Engineer's Estimate: | \$ 4,238,184.50 | | Bid Amount | Bid Amount | Bid Amount | Bid Amount | Bid Amount |
| | | | \$ 2,974,061.68 | \$ 3,646,568.57 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329. | 212 Local Bidder | | | | | | |

| | IS BID LOCAL? | NO | NO | | |
|--------------|--|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--|
| To look up i | f a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's | ist located at | X:\Construction Prequa | <u>lification</u> | |
| PART IIIA: | | | | | |
| step 1 | IF <u>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL</u> STOP. Skip data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to T IF <u>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL</u> , CONTINU above for apparent low bid and bidder inform | AB IV. JE TO TO STEP 2 (see | | | |

| Part IIIB: | Part IIIB: | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|--------|---------|-----|-----|----|----|--|--|--|
| step 2 | Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. | 0.000% | 22.612% | NA | NA | NA | NA | | | |
| step 3 | Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID? | YES | NO | NA | NA | NA | NA | | | |
| step 4 | If <i>step 3</i> is <u>"yes"</u> , SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)? | | | | | | | | | |
| step 5 | INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO (\$0) | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | | | | | |

| Part IIIC: | | | |
|------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| step 6 | REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB: | \$ 2,974,061.68 | Manually Enter Amount |
| step 7 | REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB: | BLD Services, LLC | Manually Enter Information |
| | | | |

| gust 1, 2018 | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| Serv | vices, LLC | | | | | | | |
| | 2,974,061.68 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| ME | COMPANY NAME | | | | | | | |
| | NA | | | | | | | |
| t | Bid Amount | | | | | | | |
| | NA | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| PF | ROJECT: | ի - Clintonville 1, Օve | erbrook/ Chatham & I | Lateral Lining - Cli | ntonville 1, Overb | Bid | Date: | August 1, 2018 | |
|------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Departme | ent/Division: | Public Utilities | | | Evaluated Low Bidder | (from Tabs I, II and III) | : | BLD Services, LLC | |
| Section: | | Sewers & Drains | | | Evaluated Low Bid (fi | rom Tabs I, II and III) : | | \$ | 2,974,062 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| CIP No. | | 650871-110175 & 6 | 50872-110175 | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME |
| Project M | | Mark Timbrook | | BLD Services, LLC | Musson Bros. Inc. | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Engineer | 's Estimate: | \$ | 4,238,184.50 | Bid Amount | Bid Amount | Bid Amount | Bid Amount | Bid Amount | Bid Amount |
| PART IV: 3 | 329.(17) Environmen | ntal Preference | | \$ 2,974,061.68 | \$ 3,646,568.57 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA |
| | arent Low Bid: | \$ | 2,974,061.68 | Apparent Low Bidder: | BLD Serv | rices, LLC | | | |
| | | - I · | · · | | 1 | • | | | |
| ART IV: 3 | 329.(17) Environmen | ntal Preference | | | | | | 1 | |
| step 1 | | ated low bidder submit "environme apparent low bidder. For all other | | NO | NA | NA | NA | | |
| step 2 | Excluding apparer | nt low bidder, did bidder submit | "environmentally preferable" bid? | | NO | NO | YES | | |
| step 3 | then the apparent/e | evaluated low bidder submitted an valuated low bidder is lead bidder e Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5. | | | | | | | |
| | If the apparent/evalue proceed to Steps 6 | uated low bidder did not submit an and 7. | environmentally preferable bid, | | | | | | |
| step 4 | | ental preference for each bid. It is low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 | , | 0.000% | 22.612% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| step 5 | Preference amount applied). | for each eligible bid (if 0, then no e | environmental preference was | \$- | \$ 20,000.00 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| step 6 | | vironmental preference, except if b case, both claiming EP cancels it fo | | \$ 2,974,061.68 | \$ 3,626,568.57 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| step 7 | Low Bid Amoun | t: \$ 2,974,061.68 | Low Bidder: | BLD Services, LLC | | | | | |
| | | | | • | | | | | |

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

| Apparent Lo | ow Bidder/Bid: | | BLD Services, LLC | , , | \$ | 2,974,061.68 |] | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------|------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| Pro | oject: | lintonville 1, Ove | rbrook/ Chathan | n & Lateral Linir | ng - Cli | ntonville 1, C |) | |
| Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e) | With respect to a bidder whose bid information regarding performance | | | | | | | oid and/or histor |
| | Bidders | BLD Services, LLC | Musson Bros. Inc. | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| | Bid Percent Difference btwn Bid and <mark>Next Highest</mark> Bid | | \$ 3,646,568.57 -100.00% | \$- | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ |
| | Is any bidder 10% or more below If yes, does the lower bidder's Ta If yes, low bidder is "responsible" u | AB 2 evaluation have 'y | es' answers for questi | ons c, d, g, and h? | | | | Y Y |
| Bid Guarantee Requirements | Below are the required document Is the guarantee greater than or equilating Did the bidder submit all of the required Bid Bond Bond on City's bond form Surety power of attorney Most recent surety financial statem Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Co | ual to 10% of the bid? uired documents based u | | itted? | | YES YES |] | |
| Contract Compliance Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp | Are all subcontractors contract con If there are not any subcontractors Is the corporation foreign or the ind | npliant? Required before , choose N/A. | | | | YES |] | |
| | If yes, business entity shall register service of summons, in any action This is required before the contract http://www.sos.state.oh.us/ | in law or equity, or both, | brought in the State of O | hio. | ne bid | YES | | |
| Award recommendation: After Project Name: Recommended Bidder: Contract Amount: | evaluation of the bids, I recommend Downspout Redirection - Clin BLD Services LLC \$ 2,974,061.68 | • | | | e 1, Ove | erbrook/ Chatham | n Project | |
| Evaluator's Name: Evaluation Completion Date: | Mike Pettiford 8/2/2018 | | | | | | | |

nistorical

| 0 | |
|-----|---|
| | - |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| YES | |
| YES | |

Tab 5: 9 of 18

TAB 6: U.S. and Ohio EPA Requirements

version: 2/22/2017

| PROJECT: | spout Redirection and Lateral Lining Clintonville 1 |
|----------------------|---|
| | |
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities |

| Department/Division. | rubiic Otilities | |
|----------------------|-------------------|--|
| | | |
| Section: | Sewers and Drains | |

| | | Bids from Appar | ent low | est to highest | : | Apparent Low |
|--|---|----------------------------------|---------|---|----------------|--|
| CIP No. | | 650871-110 | 175 / | 650872-1 1 | L 017 ! | COMPANY NAME |
| Project Manager: Engineer's Estimate: | | Mark Timbrook \$ 4,238,184.50 | | BLD Services LLC Initial Bid Amount \$ 2,261,588.73 | | |
| | _ | | | _ | _ | 22.61% |
| Responsiveness Crit | eria, WPCLF/\ | VSRLA Complianc | e | | | A "NO" answer will not information submitted affect project schedule |
| FORM B10 | The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the Contractor Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Certification Form | | | Yes | | |
| FORM B11 | The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the US EPA Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters Form | | | Yes | | |
| FORM B12.2 | The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has provided a completed and signed US EPA Form 6100-3 from each proposed subcontractor | | | Yes | | |
| FORM B12.3 | The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned US EPA Form 6100-4 | | | Yes | | |
| GOOD FAITH EFFORTS | The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has NOT met the EPA Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Utilization Goals of 1.3% MBE <u>and</u> 1.0% WBE , so documentation of Good Faith Efforts to solicit DBE particiaption has been provided. | | | Yes | | |
| FORM B14 | The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the American Iron and Steel (AIS) Acknowledgement Form | | Yes | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I | \$ 2,261,588.73 |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB 6 | BLD Services LLC |

TAB VI: DEPARTMENT SPECIFIC CRITERIA

Instructions:

If you have additional questions/documents that you require with your bids that are departmen

Please follow the format of the previous tabs. If you need assistance, please contact Suzanna Gu

| Overbrook / Cha | Bid Date: | | August 1, 2018 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Initial Apparent Low Bidder: | | BLD Services LLC | | | |
| Initial Apparent Low Bid : | | \$ | 2,261,588.73 | | |

| 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME |
| | | | | |
| Musson Bros. | | | | |
| Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount |
| \$ 2,808,241.70 | | | | |
| -100.00% | | | | |
| t necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have all forms completed and required | | | | |

prior to contract execution. Delay in providing this information may result in delay of loan award and

| | | |
|-----|------|--|
| Yes | | |
| | | |



t specific, please create your own evaluation worksheet here.

ussler or Alex Cofield.

| Verify DBE Subcontra | ctors using the following links (in order) and save results found |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Search & Verify MBE: | https://eodreporting.oit.ohio.gov//searchMBE.aspx |
| Search & Verify EDGE: | https://eodreporting.oit.ohio.gov//searchEDGE.aspx |
| Search & Verify ODOT DBE Database: | http://www.dot.state.oh.us/Divisions/ODI/SDBE/Pages/DBE-Director |

Г

<u>ry.aspx</u>

۱.

TAB VII: Notes

On this page, include any notes or clarification from phone calls made. Be sure to include the company you called, who you spoke with, date, time, question asked, outcome of call, and name of person who made the call.

Include any notes or clarifications from research done.

Yes No NA