TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	HCWP SLUDGE REMO	OVAL - LAGOON 2 (2019)	Bid Date:	March 13, 2019
Department/Division:	Publiic Utilities / Water	Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:	LimeCorp
Section:	Supply/Tech. Support	Initial Appar	ent Low Bid:	\$ 2,360,400.00

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th		
CIP No.	690559-100001	COMPANY NAME							
Project Manager:	Stacia Eckenwiler, P.E.	LimeCorp	Burch Hydro						
Engineer's Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount							
		\$2,360,400.00	\$ 4,063,824.00						
	72.17% -100.00%								

PART IA: F	Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	NA	NA				
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES	YES				
с	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B9	YES	YES				
d	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES				
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE				

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	HCWP SLUDGE REMO	OVAL - LAGOON 2 (2019)	Bid Date:	March 13, 2019
Department/Division:	Publiic Utilities / Water	Initial Apparer	LimeCorp	
Section:	Supply/Tech. Support	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$ 2,360,400.00

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690559-100001	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	anager:	Stacia Eckenwiler, P.E.	LimeCorp	Burch Hydro				
Engineer'	's Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$2,360,400.00	\$ 4,063,824.00				
PART IB: F	Responsiveness Cr	iteria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for i	esponsive bid)
а		ed a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	YES	YES				
b	are licensed constr	re listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors uction trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA				
c	qualified responsib	bcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- le or provisionally responsible licensed trade 29.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are)	NA	NA				
d	work under which t	re listed, the bidder listed the division/section of he sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no licensed rs are listed, enter "NA") FORM B6	NA	NA				
e	subcontractor per of more than one sub	re listed and the bidder listed more than one division/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? to licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA	NA				
f	trade subcontracto responsible. (329.2	affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction rs are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally (0)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade re listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA				
g		subcontractors who were currently suspended or y. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	NA	NA				
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE				

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	HCWP SLUDGE REMO	DVAL - LAGOON 2 (2019)	Bid Date:	March 13, 2019
Department/Division:	Publiic Utilities / Water	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:	LimeCorp
Section:	Supply/Tech. Support	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$ 2,360,400.00

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690559-100001	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project N	lanager:	Stacia Eckenwiler, P.E.	LimeCorp	Burch Hydro				
Engineer	's Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$2,360,400.00	\$ 4,063,824.00				
PART IC: I	Responsiveness Cri	iteria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for I	esponsive bid)
а	referenced code se	mit more than one bid for same work. (See ection for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES				
b	Bidder acknowledg PAGE, page 2	ged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) BID SIGNATURE	YES	YES				
с		rith technical pre-qualification fication requirements where applicable.	YES	YES				
d	The bid contains n invitation for bid. (3	no conditions or qualifications not provided in the 29.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES				
е	Bidder added no pr (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	rovisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES	YES				
f	Bidder submitted a B3	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2, FORM	YES	YES				
g	Bidder did not sub FORM B2, FORM I	omit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) B3	YES	YES				
h	Bid prices are mate	erially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B4	YES	YES				
i		egularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid ((1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES				
j		a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. ORM B4 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES	YES				
k		a lump sum price if required . (329.23)(f)(1)(h) sum is not an option, enter "NA")	YES	YES				
I		ed with section 329.20(h) regarding (9.23)(f)(1)(k) FORM B6	NA	NA				
m		in alterations, ommissions, errors, such that it e bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES	YES				
n	Bid responds to bid (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	d documents in all material respects.	YES	YES				

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	HCWP SLUDGE REMO	OVAL - LAGOON 2 (2019)	Bid Date:		March 13, 2019
Department/Division:	Publiic Utilities / Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:			LimeCorp
Section:	Supply/Tech. Support	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	2,360,400.00

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690559-100001	COMPANY NAME					
Project M	anager:	Stacia Eckenwiler, P.E.	LimeCorp	Burch Hydro				
Engineer	's Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount					
			\$2,360,400.00	\$ 4,063,824.00				
o	Bid is complete. (3)	29.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES				
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE				

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	HCWP SLUDGE REMO	DVAL - LAGOON 2 (2019)	Bid Date:		March 13, 2019	
Department/Division:	Publiic Utilities / Water	Initial Apparent Low Bidder:			LimeCorp	
Section:	Supply/Tech. Support	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	2,360,400.00	

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690559-100001	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Stacia Eckenwiler, P.E.	LimeCorp	Burch Hydro				
Engineer's Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount					
		\$2,360,400.00	\$ 4,063,824.00				

		A "no" answer in Part IE will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have a valid contract compliance no. prior t					mpliance no. prior to
PART ID:	Responsiveness Criteria, Contract Compliance	contract execution.					
а	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has an active contract compliance number at the time of bid.	YES	YES				

		Continue to Part II	Required to Contract;	Required to Contract;	Required to Contract;	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office
Proceed to Part II?	YES	YES				

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 2,360,400.00	 Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	LimeCorp	 Manually Enter Information

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	HCWP SLUDGE REM	OVAL - LAGOON 2 (2019)	Bid Date:	March 13, 2019
Department/Division:	Publiic Utilities / Water	Responsive Low E	idder (from Tab I):	LimeCorp
Section:	Supply/Tech. Support	Responsive Low	Bid (from Tab I):	\$ 2,360,400.00

CIP No.	690559-100001	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Stacia Eckenwiler, P.E.	LimeCorp	Burch Hydro	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$-	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$ 2,360,400.00	\$ 4,063,824.00	0	0	0	0

aBased on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others).YESNOImage: Comparable to the bids is a statistically comparable to others).YESNObThe bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & ResourcesYESYESYESImage: Comptency Comptency & ResourcesImage: Comptency & Resources <th>PART IIA:</th> <th>329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria</th> <th>INPUT "</th> <th>YES" FOR POSITIVE RES</th> <th>PONSE OR "NO" FOR N</th> <th>EGATIVE RESPONSE (1</th> <th>point for each "yes", 0</th> <th>for "no")</th>	PART IIA:	329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0	for "no")
bappropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & ResourcesYESYESImage: Comptency & ResourcesImage: Comptency & ResourcesIma	а	documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others).	YES	NO				
cappropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & ResourcesYESYESImage: Comptency & ResourcesImage: Co	b	appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6,	YES	YES				
dB6, Experience, Comptency & ResourcesYESYESImage: Comptency & ResourcesImage: Comptency & Resources <thimage: &="" comptence="" resource<="" td=""><td>с</td><td>appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6,</td><td>YES</td><td>YES</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thimage:>	с	appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6,	YES	YES				
ewhich the bid has been submitted.FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & ResourcesYESYESfThe bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines.NANAgThe bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects.YESNAhThe bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project.YESYES	d	,	YES	YES				
Tcompletion deadlines. FORM B7NANANAgThe bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7YESNAImage: Construction projects of the project. FORM B8Image: Construction projects of the project. FORM B8YESYESYESImage: Construction project of the project. FORM B8Image: Construction project of the project. FORM B8Image: Construction project of the	e	which the bid has been submitted. FORM B6, Experience,	YES	YES				
9 construction projects. FORM B7 YES NA h The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8 YES YES	f		NA	NA				
h the success of the project. FORM B8 YES YES	g		YES	NA				
Responsibility Score: 7 5 0 0 0	h		YES	YES				
		Responsibility Score:	7	5	0	0	0	0
Continue to TAB 3? YES YES		Continue to TAB 3?	YES	YES				

Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PROJECT:	HCWP SLUDGE REM	10VAL - LAGOON 2 (2019)	Bid Date:	ſ	March 13, 2019
Department/Division:	Publiic Utilities / Water	Evaluated Low Bidde	er (from Tabs I and II):		LimeCorp
Section:	Supply/Tech. Support	Evaluated Low Bid	(from Tabs I and II) :	\$	2,360,400.00
	capper, i com cappere		(Ŧ	_,,

CIP No.	690559-100001		COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Stacia Eckenwiler, P.E.		LimeCorp	Burch Hydro	NA	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$	-	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
		\$ 2,360,400.00	\$ 4,063,824.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	
PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329.2	PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329.212 Local Bidder							

IS BID LOCAL?	NO	NO
---------------	----	----

To look up if a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list located at

X:\Construction Pregualification

PART IIIA:	
	IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter
	data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV.
step 1	IF <u>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL</u> , CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)

Part IIIB:							
step 2	Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	72.167%	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 3	Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID?	YES	NO	NA	NA	NA	NA
	If step 3 is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?						
step 5	INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO (\$0)						

Part IIIC:			
step 6	REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:	\$ 2,360,400.00	Manually Enter Amount
step 7	REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB:	LimeCorp	Manually Enter Information

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

Section:	nt/Division:	Publiic Utilities	/ 14/-1			L - LAGOON 2 (2019) Bid Date:			: March 13, 2019	
		Cumple/Task C	/ Water	Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I, II and III):					LimeCorp	
		Section: Supply/Tech. Support				Evaluated Low Bid (fr	om Tabs I, II and III) :		\$	2,360,400
CIP No. 690559-100001				COMPANY	NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Ma	anager:	Stacia Eckenwil		LimeCo		Burch Hydro	NA	NA	NA	NA
	s Estimate:	\$	-	Bid Amou	· ·	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
				\$ 2,360,4	400.00	\$ 4,063,824.00	NA	NA	NA	NA
	29.(17) Environmental		2 200 400 00				Com			
Арра	arent Low Bid:	\$	2,360,400.00	Apparent Low B	idder:	Lime	Corp	l		
PART IV: 32	29.(17) Environmental	Preference								
	Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer			YES		NA				
	Excluding apparent bid?	xcluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" d?				YES				
step 3	bid, then the apparent in Steps 4-6, complete If the apparent/evaluat	/evaluated low bidder is le e Step 7, and proceed to T ted low bidder did not subi	ted an environmentally preferable ad bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data ab 5. mit an environmentally preferable							
	bid, proceed to Steps	6 and 7.								
step 4		tal preference for each bid v bid, for a maximum of \$2	I. It is up to five percent (5%) of the 20,000 per bid.	0.000%	5	72.167%	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 5	 Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied). 			\$	-	\$ 20,000.00	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it for both			\$ 2,360	,400.00	\$ 4,043,824.00	NA	NA	NA	NA
step 7	Low Bid Amount:	Low Bid Amount: \$ 2,360,400.00 Low Bidder:			rp					

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent L	.ow Bidder/Bid:		LimeCorp		\$ 2,360,400.00					
Project:		HCWP SLUDGE REMOVAL - LAGOON 2 (20			(2019)					
ther "responsible" equirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)	With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.									
	Bidders	LimeCorp	Burch Hydro	0	0	0		0		
	Bid Percent Difference btwn Bid	\$ 2,360,400.00	\$ 4,063,824.00	\$-	\$ -	\$	- \$			
	and Next Highest Bid	72.17%	-100.00%							
d Gurantee Requirements	Is any bidder 10% or more below t If yes, does the lower bidder's TAE If yes, low bidder is "responsible" und Below are the required documents Is the guarantee greater than or eque Did the bidder submit all of the required	3 2 evaluation have 'y ler this provision. for each bid guarant I to 10% of the bid?	ee type allowed.		YES YES	-		YES YES		
	<u>Bid Bond</u> Bond on City's bond form Surety power of attorney Most recent surety financial statemer Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Cerl		Letter of Credit LOC on City's LOC form		Certified/Cashier's Che Drawn on a solvant bar					
Contract Compliance	Are all subcontractors contract comp	YES]							
Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp	If there are not any subcontractors, c Is the corporation foreign or the indiv		on-resident of the State of	Ohio?	NO	7				
g	If yes, then did they file with the Secretary of State a power of attorney designating them or their agent or the Secretary of State, as agent, for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity, or both, brought in the State of Ohio									
	This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid									
	http://www.sos.state.oh.us/									
ward recommendation: Afte Project Name: Recommended Bidder: Contract Amount:	r evaluation of the bids, I recommend HCWP SLUDGE REMOVAL - LA LimeCorp Ltd. \$ 2,360,400.00	-	ctor be awarded the contr	act for:						
Evaluator's Name: Evaluation Completion Date:	Missy Smith 3/19/2019									

Additional Information for Departments:

State of Ohio - Ohio Auditor

This is the link to the State of Ohio Auditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery"

https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	LimeCorp	\$ 2,360,400.00			
Project:	HCWP SLUDGE REMOVAL - LAGOON 2 (2019)				
Federal - System for Award	d Management				
This is the link to the Federal System for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery"					

City of Columbus

Contact the Purchasing Office if you have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.

State of Ohio Debarment

This is the link to multiple state agencies that lists debarred companies.

<u>OSHA</u>

This is the link to the US Department of Labor's website for OSHA violations

https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1

http://ofcc.ohio.gov/Resources/Debarments.aspx

https://www.osha.gov

City of Columbus Office of Prequalifications

Pre-Qualification Office email: Pre-Qualification Office phone no: prequalification@columbus.gov 645-0359

ORD #0984-2019 Construction Contract Evaluation Spreadsheets per Columbus City Code Section 329

TAB VII: Notes

Overall bid questions:

(IC-c):

1. Are there any technical requirements / specifications required? If so, does the lowest bidder meet them?

LimeCorp:

(IIA f-g): Meet deadlines?

I am under the impression that most of the work that LimeCorp does is done on an as-needed basis since they are more of a service-based company rather than contractor. PM should verify though.

Burch Hydro:

- (IIA a): Statistically Comparable:
 Bidder is 72% higher than lowest bidder and 99% higher than
 Engineer's Estimate. Would need further clarification if awarded.
 Marked "No".
- (IIA f-g): Meet deadlines & costs? No begin/end dates or costs. Marked "N/A".

PM's responses:

None required for bid submission. Those defined in the contract specifications will need to be met as the work is performed.

This contract does have a defined time period for removal of a known quantity of material. The bidders acceptance of this contract implies that they are able to perform the services in the time allotted per contract.