PROJECT:	MOUND DISTRICT BOOSTER S	TATION 20-INCH DISCHARGE LINE	Bid Date:	May 29, 2019	
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:		ERAMO & SONS
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appar	ent Low Bid:	Ś	3.013.538.42

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690451-100001	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Chris Scannell, P.E.	ERAMO & SONS	KENMORE	ELITE EXCAVATING			
Engineer's Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount					
		\$ 3,013,538.42	\$ 3,147,703.53	\$ 3,518,303.10			
		4.45%	11.77%	-100.00%			

PART IA: F	Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for	responsive bid)
а	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES	YES	YES			
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES	YES	YES			
С	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B9	YES	YES	YES			
d	If applicable, the bidder listed a (sub)contractor(s) with an active water or sewer contractor tappers license (CMS 107.02)	YES	YES	YES			
е	Digital ID on bid is from a person authorized to enter into contract on behalf of the company ("name of person singing contract" on Signature Affidavit must match the name on the Digital ID). Proposal Signature Affidavit	YES	YES	YES			
f	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

PROJECT:	MOUND DISTRICT BOOSTER S	TATION 20-INCH DISCHARGE LINE	Bid Date:		May 29, 2019
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparen	t Low Bidder:	E	RAMO & SONS
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	nt Low Bid :	\$	3,013,538.42

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690451-100001	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	anager:	Chris Scannell, P.E.	ERAMO & SONS	KENMORE	ELITE EXCAVATING			
Engineer'	s Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 3,013,538.42	\$ 3,147,703.53	\$ 3,518,303.10			
PART IB: Responsiveness Criteria, Subcontractors (329.20)			INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for i	responsive bid)
a		d a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	YES	YES	YES			
b	are licensed constru	e listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors uction trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA			
С	qualified responsible	ocontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- e or provisionally responsible licensed trade 9.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are	NA	NA	NA			
d	work under which th	e listed, the bidder listed the division/section of ne sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no listed, enter "NA") FORM B5	NA	NA	NA			
e	subcontractor per d more than one sub	e listed and the bidder listed more than one ivision/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? o licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA	NA	NA			
f	trade subcontractor responsible. (329.2)	affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction is are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally 0)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade e listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA			
g		subcontractors who were currently suspended or (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES	YES	YES			
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

PROJECT:	MOUND DISTRICT BOOSTER S	STATION 20-INCH DISCHARGE LINE Bid Date:			May 29, 2019
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparer	t Low Bidder:	E	RAMO & SONS
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	3,013,538.42

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690451-100001	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project N	Manager:	Chris Scannell, P.E.	ERAMO & SONS	KENMORE	ELITE EXCAVATING			
Enginee	r's Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 3,013,538.42	\$ 3,147,703.53	\$ 3,518,303.10			
PART IC:	Responsiveness C	riteria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for r	esponsive bid)
а		bmit more than one bid for same work. (See ection for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES	YES			
b	Bidder acknowled	dged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
С		with technical pre-qualification ification requirements where applicable.	YES	YES	YES			
d	The bid contains invitation for bid. (no conditions or qualifications not provided in the 329.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES	YES			
е	Bidder added no p (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	provisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES	YES	YES			
f	Bidder submitted	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2	YES	YES	YES			
g	Bidder did not su FORM B2	bmit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i)	YES	YES	YES			
h	Bid prices are mat	terially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B3	YES	YES	YES			
i		regularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid $f_1(1)(j)$ and $(329.23)(f_1(1)(e)$	YES	YES	YES			
j		a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. FORM B3 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES	YES	YES			
k		a lump sum price if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(h) p sum is not an option, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES			
I		lied with section 329.20(h) regarding 29.23)(f)(1)(k) FORM B5	YES	YES	YES			
m		tain alterations, omissions, errors, such that it does documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES	YES	YES			
n	Bid responds to b (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	oid documents in all material respects.	YES	YES	YES			

PROJECT:	MOUND DISTRICT BOOSTER S	TATION 20-INCH DISCHARGE LINE	Bid Date:	May 29, 2019
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:	ERAMO & SONS
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$ 3,013,538.42

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690451-100001	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project N	lanager:	Chris Scannell, P.E.	ERAMO & SONS	KENMORE	ELITE EXCAVATING			
Engineer	's Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 3,013,538.42	\$ 3,147,703.53	\$ 3,518,303.10			
0	Bid is complete. (3	29.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

PRO	JECT:	MOUND DISTRICT BOOSTER S	TATION 20-INCH D	DISCHARGE LINE	Bid	Bid Date:		9, 2019
Department/	/Division:	Public Utilities / Water		Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:		ERAMO	& SONS
Section:		Distribution		Initial Appar	ent Low Bid :		\$	3,013,538.42
		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690451-100001	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Mana	ager:	Chris Scannell, P.E.	ERAMO & SONS	KENMORE	ELITE EXCAVATING			
Engineer's E	Estimate:		Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 3,013,538.42	\$ 3,147,703.53	\$ 3,518,303.10			
Th	•	riteria, Contract Compliance w Bidder" has an active contract compliance of bid.	A "no" answer in Par	rt IE will not necessaril		oonsive. Bidder must hexecution.	ave a valid contract co	mpliance no. prior to
	Bid Resp	ponsiveness, Waiver Considerations:	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office
		Proceed to Part II?	YES	YES	YES			
	LO	WEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 3,013,538.42		Manually Enter Amou	nt		
	LOW	EST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	ERAMO & SONS			Manually Enter Inform	nation	

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	MOUND DISTRICT BOOSTER S	Bid Date:		May 29, 2019			
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water		Responsive Low E	ERAMO & SONS			
Section:	Distribution		Responsive Low Bid (from Tab I):			\$	3,013,538.42
CIP No.	690451-100001	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Chris Scannell, P.E.	ERAMO & SONS	KENMORE	ELITE EXCAVATING	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ -	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 3,013,538.42	\$ 3,147,703.53	\$ 3,518,303.10	0	0	0
		-					

PART IIA: 3	329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0	for "no")
а	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B3, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	NO			
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES			
С	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES			
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B7	NA	YES	YES			
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			_
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES			
	Responsibility Score:	7	8	7	0	0	0
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES	YES	YES			

Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

Pl	ROJECT:	NOUND DISTRICT BOOSTER STATION 20-INCH DISCHARGE LIN Bid Date:					May 29, 2019		
Departmo	ent/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Evaluated Low Bidde	er (from Tabs I and II):	ERAMO & SONS				
Section: Distribution				Evaluated Low Bid	(from Tabs I and II) :		\$	3,013,538.42	
CIP No.		690451-100001	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
Project N	Manager:	Chris Scannell, P.E.	ERAMO & SONS	KENMORE	ELITE EXCAVATING	NA	NA	NA	
Engineer	r's Estimate:	\$ -	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	
			\$ 3,013,538.42	\$ 3,147,703.53	\$ 3,518,303.10	NA	NA	NA	
PART III: 3	329.(01)(u) and 329.	212 Local Bidder							
		IS BID LOCAL?	NO	YES	NO				
o look up	if a bidder is local,	use the Office of Construction Prequalification's lis	st located at	X:\Construction Prequa	lification				
PART IIIA:									
		LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to T							
step 1	IF <u>APPARENT</u>	LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTIN above for apparent low bid and bidder inform							
Part IIIB:		above for apparent low bid and bidder inform	ation)				T	T	
				4.452%	16.750%	NA	NA NA	NA	
Part IIIB:	Percentage differe	above for apparent low bid and bidder inform	ation)	4.452% NO	16.750% NO	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	
Part IIIB:	Percentage differed Is amount in prior BID? If step 3 is "yes", DID AGENCY FO	above for apparent low bid and bidder inform	0.000%						
Part IIIB: step 2 step 3	Percentage differed is amount in prior BID? If step 3 is "yes", DID AGENCY FO CITY CODE SECTION OF THE PRIOR OF T	above for apparent low bid and bidder inform ence of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. LLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO	0.000%						
Part IIIB: step 2 step 3	Percentage differe Is amount in prior BID? If step 3 is "yes", DID AGENCY FOR CITY CODE SEC* INPUT NEW BID (\$00)	above for apparent low bid and bidder inform ence of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. Step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. LLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO FIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?	0.000%						
Part IIIB: step 2 step 3 step 4	Percentage differed is amount in prior BID? If step 3 is "yes", DID AGENCY FO CITY CODE SECTION OF	above for apparent low bid and bidder inform ence of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. Step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. LLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO FIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?	0.000%			NA			

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

PF	PROJECT: MOUND DISTRICT BOOSTER STATI				ION 20-INCH DISCHARGE LINE			Bid Date:		May 29, 2019	
Department/Division: Public Utilities / Water			Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I, II and III				n Tabs I, II and III):): ERAMO & SONS		& SONS	
Section:						from Tabs I, II and III) :			\$	3,013,538	
CIP No. 690451-100001		COMPANY NAME		OMPANY NAME	C	OMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME		
	Project Manager: Chris Scannell, P.E.			ERAMO & SONS		KENMORE		TE EXCAVATING	NA NA	NA	NA NA
	s Estimate:	\$	<u>. </u>	Bid Amount Bid Amount			Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	
Liigiileei	5 LStilliate.] 7	-	\$ 3,013,538.4	2 5	3,147,703.53	Ś	3,518,303.10	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
PART IV: 3	29.(17) Environmenta	al Preference		ψ 0,010,000.	<u>- </u>	0,2 17,7 00:00	Ť	0,010,000.10			
Арра	arent Low Bid:	\$	3,013,538.42	Apparent Low Bidder:		ERAMO	& SC	ONS			
									•		
PART IV: 3	29.(17) Environmenta	al Preference									
step 1		ed low bidder submit "environm oparent low bidder. For all othe		YES		NA		NA			
step 2	Excluding apparent	low bidder, did bidder submit	t "environmentally preferable" bid?	NA		YES		YES			
step 3	then the apparent/eva		n environmentally preferable bid, r for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps								
	If the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, proceed to Steps 6 and 7.										
step 4		ntal preference for each bid. It is d, for a maximum of \$20,000 pe	s up to five percent (5%) of the non- ir bid.	0.000%		4.452%		16.750%	NA	NA	NA
step 5	Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied).		\$	- \$	20,000.00	\$	20,000.00	NA	NA	NA	
step 6	Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it for both			\$ 3,013,538.4	\$	3,127,703.53	\$	3,498,303.10	NA	NA	NA
step 7	Low Bid Amount	: \$ 3,013,538.42	Low Bidder:	ERAMO & SONS							

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

TAB 6: U.S. and Ohio EPA Requirements

version: 2/22/2017									
PROJECT: MOUND DISTRICT BOOSTER ST		TATION 20-INCH D	ISCHARGE LINE	Bid	Date:	May 29, 2019			
Department/Division: Public Utilities / Water			Initial Apparen	t Low Bidder:		ERAMO	& SONS		
Section: Distribution			Initial Appare	nt Low Bid :		\$	-		
	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th		
CIP No.	690451-100001	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME		
				ELITE					
Project Manager		ERAMO & SONS	KENMORE	EXCAVATING	\$ -	=	=		
Engineer's Estim	nate: \$ -	Initial Bid Amount	\$ 3,147,703.53	\$ 3,518,303.10	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount		
		\$ 3,013,538.42 4.45%	\$ 3,147,703.53 11.77%	-100.00%	•				
Responsiveness Cri	iteria, WPCLF/WSRLA Compliance	A "NO" answer will not submitted prior to conschedule.	t necessarily render a	bid non-responsive. E	Bidder must have all fo				
FORM B10	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the Contractor Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Certification Form	Yes	Yes	Yes					
FORM B11	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the US EPA Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters Form		Yes	Yes					
FORM B12.2	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has provided a completed and signed US EPA Form 6100-3 from each proposed subcontractor	Yes	Yes	Yes					
FORM B12.3 The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned US EPA Form 6100-4		Yes	Yes	Yes					
GOOD FAITH EFFORTS	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has NOT met the EPA Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Utilization Goals of 1.3% MBE and 1.0% WBE, so documentation of Good Faith Efforts to solicit DBE particiaption has been provided.	NA	NA	No					
FORM B14	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the American Iron and Steel (AIS) Acknowledgement Form	Yes	Yes	Yes					
		1	1						
	LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 3,013,538.42	———	Manually Enter Amo	unt				
	LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB 6	ERAMO & SONS	¢	Manually Enter Information					

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	ERAMO & SONS	\$	3,013,538.42
Project:	MOUND DISTRICT BOOSTER STATION 20-INCH D	DISCH	HARGE LINE

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

Bidders	ERAMO & SONS	KENMORE	ELITE EXCAVATING	0	0	0
Bid	\$ 3,013,538.42	\$ 3,147,703.53	\$ 3,518,303.10	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Percent Difference btwn Bid						
and Next Highest Bid	4.45%	11.77%	-100.00%			

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

YES YES

Bid Guarantee Requirements

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarantee submitted?

YES YES

YES

NO

N/A

Bid Bond

Bond on City's bond form Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Contract Compliance Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed.

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?

If yes, business entity shall register with the Ohio Secretary of State's Office for the purpose of accepting

service of summons, in any action in law or equity, or both, brought in the State of Ohio.

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid

http://www.sos.state.oh.us/

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

Project Name: MOUND DISTRICT BOOSTER STATION 20-INCH DISCHARGE LINE

John Eramo & Sons, Inc. Recommended Bidder:

3,013,538.42 Contract Amount: \$

Evaluator's Name: M. Smith 5/31/2019 **Evaluation Completion Date:**

ORD #1548-2019

TAB VII: Notes

Overall bid questions:

(IC-c):

1. Are there any technical requirements / specifications required? If so, does the lowest bidder meet them? (marked "yes" for now)

PM's responses:

City Water License - Yes

John Eramo & Sons:

(IIA-f + g): Meet deadlines & costs:

Cannot evaluate. Eramo did not supply begin/end dates nor costs. PM to comment.

Contractor has demonstrated the ability to meet schedule and cost requirements on past projects with the Division of Water.

(IIA-h): (Substantial) Uncompleted Work?

Cannot evaluate. Eramo did not supply information to fully evaluate. PM to comment.

Contractor has demonstrated the ability to meet schedule and cost requirements on past projects with the Division of Water.

Kenmore Construction:

(IIA-h): (Substantial) Uncompleted Work?

Kenmore listed 3 large projects outside of Columbus: \$80.4M to be completed July 2019, \$58M to be completed July 2022; \$17M to be completed September 2020. PM please comment if ok. Marked "Yes" for now.

PM does not consider this to be sufficient criteria to disqualify the bidder

Elite Excavating Co. of Ohio:

(IIA-a): Statistically comparable?

16.75% higher than lowest bidder; marked "NO".

(VI): No GFE of other vendors (besides Rath) being contacted.