Ord No.: 1713-2019 DIRECTOR'S INFORMATION SHEET

<u>Information to be included in all Legislation Renewing a Contract:</u>

1. The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.

Name	C.C. No./Exp. Date	City/State	Status
MS Consultants	34-6546916 /	Columbus, Ohio	MAJ
Chester Engineers	20-2401674 /	Columbus, Ohio	MBE
CH2M Hill	32-0100027 /	Columbus, Ohio	MAJ
Arcadis	57-0373224 /	Columbus, Ohio	MAJ
OHM	38-1691323 /	Columbus, Ohio	MAJ
DLZ	31-1268980 /	Columbus, Ohio	MBE
CHA	16-0966259 /	Columbus, Ohio	MAJ
EMH&T	31-0685594 /	Columbus, Ohio	MAJ
Black & Veatch	43-1833073 /	Columbus, Ohio	MAJ
Ribway	31-1406579 /	Columbus, Ohio	MBE

2. What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).

Requests for Proposals (RFP's) were opened on January 31, 2015.

3. List the ranking and order of all bidders.

	Bidder	Score
1.	ms Consultants	86
2.	Chester Engineers	84
3.	Jacobs (formerly CH2M Hill)	82
4.	Arcadis	80
5.	OHM	78
6.	DLZ	76
7.	CHA	74
8.	EMH&T	73
9.	Black & Veatch	71
10.	Ribway*	0

^{*}This proposal was deemed unresponsive

4. Complete address, contact name, phone number, and e-mail address for the successful bidder only.

ms Consultants.

Mr. Anil Tangirala, P.E. (Project Manager)

2221 Schrock Road

Columbus, OH 43229

614-898-7100, ATangirala@msconsultants.com

5. A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract. The planning area should also be listed as well as any street or neighborhood names.

To date, the consultant has conducted the required field investigations, property investigations, storm sewer cleaning and televising, hydraulic modeling & alternative analysis to determine the preferred alternative within the Greater Hilltop planning area. The consultants have used this data to assemble the 30% project plans and are currently work towards their 60% plan submittal.

This project also provides for necessary water main improvements in the area bounded by Hague Avenue, Broad Street, Wheatland Avenue, and Sullivant Avenue. There will be approximately 9,200 linear feet of water line replaced and approximately 300 water services replaced within the project area. This water line work will be bid concurrently with Sewers and Drains' project.

Future work will concentrate on completing the project construction plans, assembling the construction documents, bidding the work and conducting engineering services during construction.

The project is located in the "53 – Greater Hilltop" Community Planning Area and includes the following streets: Hague Avenue, Broad Street, Wheatland Avenue, Sullivant Avenue, Richardson Avenue, Palmetto Street, Wicklow Street, Wayne Avenue, and Fremont Street.

6. An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

It is anticipated that this renewal will be for a term of 2 years.

7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.

This project is being completed as part of the City's Blueprint Columbus program. This project will construct various types of green infrastructure (GI) throughout the neighborhood which will provide a higher water quality within the region by treating the surface runoff before it discharges to the waterways. The project will also rehabilitate the existing sanitary sewer laterals and install or redirect new roof downspouts so as to reduce inflow and infiltration (I&I) within the sanitary sewer system which will result in fewer water in basements (WIBs), reduced sanitary sewer flows and decreased sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs).

The goal of the water line work is to replace or rehabilitate the existing water lines that have a high break frequency. Replacement of these water lines will improve water service, decrease burden on water maintenance operations, and reduce water loss.

Community outreach is big part of these projects and the initial public meetings have been held. Subsequent meetings with other interested, neighborhood committees have also been held to inform them of the potential changes.

8. A description of any and all renewals to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

To date there have been no previous renewals or modifications to the original contract.

9. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract renewal. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

This contract renewal will provide the funding necessary to conduct additional public meetings and outreach, complete the designs of the GI and private property work as well as the assembly of the bid documents for each of the phases.

10. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract renewal cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

Re-bid of the project will likely result in a higher project costs as much of the project history would be lost and would need to be rediscovered by another consultant unless the new RFP were won by the same consultant. In such a case, we would have missed significant time in acquiring and evaluating the new proposals without significant benefit.

11. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each renewal to date (list each renewal separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Original Contract: \$1,956,247* Renewal #1: \$916,844.15

Renewal #2 (estimated): \$200,000.00 **TOTAL** \$3,073,091.15

* A total of \$402,247.94 of the remaining un-authorized funds need to be reallocated to the Renewal #1

12. An explanation of how the cost of the renewal was determined.

Cost estimates for the proposed scope of work were prepared by ms consultant and reviewed by DOSD and DOW. The total renewal includes total labor cost (direct labor multiplied by the hourly cost multiplier).

13. Subconsultant information

Firm	Amount
AECOM Inc.	\$154,069.54
DHDC Engineering Consulting Service	\$ 29,682.95
Coldwater Consulting, LLC	\$37,987.51
Russell Tree Experts	\$20,000.00

Please see the attached subcontractor Work Identification Form Located for all of the detailed information regarding the above subconsultants.