Ord No.: 2668-2019 DIRECTOR'S INFORMATION SHEET

<u>Information to be included in all Legislation Renewing*** a Contract:</u>

1. The names, contract compliance no. & expiration date, location by City/State and status of all companies (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR) submitting a competitive bid or submitting an RFP or RFSQ.

 Name
 C.C. No./Exp. Date
 City/State
 Status

 A. CDM Smith, Inc.
 04-2473650 | 10/19/2020
 Columbus, Ohio
 MAJ

 B. The Rovisys Company 34-1608766 | 05/01/2020
 Aurora, Ohio
 MAJ

2. What type of bidding process was used (ITB, RFP, RFSQ, Competitive Bid).

Requests for Proposals (RFP's) were opened on May 4, 2018

3. List the ranking and order of all bidders.

- 1. CDM Smith, Inc.
- 2. The Rovisys Company

4. <u>Complete address, contact name, phone number, and e-mail address for the successful bidder only.</u>

CDM Smith, Inc. 445 Hutchinson Ave., Suite 820, Columbus, OH 43235

Contact: Thomas E. Jedlinsky, P.E., 614-847-8340

JedlinskyTE@cdmsmith.com

5. A description of work performed to date as part of the contract and a full description of work to be performed during any future phasing of the contract. The planning area should also be listed as well as any street or neighborhood names.

This legislation is a planned renewal to an existing contract. It extends the time and adds budget to perform task order work for one additional year.

The complete scope of work is attached, and an executive summary follows here. This project will provide needed upgrades to the existing Plant Wide Process Control System (PWPCS) at each DOSD facility. It will provide integration for the newly constructed CIP's into the existing PWPCS at the DOSD Facilities. It will provide DOSD Staff training, with the goal that the City staff will become self-reliant to handle all PWPCS issues. New projects must be integrated into the PWPCS to best maintain the operational performance of the treatment facilities. This project also assists City staff in maintaining and upgrading the PWPCS at Southerly, Jackson Pike, SMOC and Compost.

Community Planning Area: 99 Citywide

6. An updated contract timeline to contract completion.

*For engineering agreements:

- a. The original agreement allowed for a total term of how many years? (ex. 4 year term) 4 years.
- b. Which year of the total term is this renewal for? (ex. year 2 of 4 year term). year 2.

c. The expiration date of this agreement is TBD.

7. A narrative discussing the economic impact or economic advantages of the project; community outreach or input in the development of the project; and any environmental factors or advantages of the project.

This contract is essential for maintenance and troubleshooting of PWPCS, as well as integration of new equipment with the control system. The project provides emergency response for troubleshooting of the PWPCS, which will allow more reliable service from the DOSD facilities and help to ensure that the facilities meet environmental permits.

8. A description of any and all renewals to date including the amounts of each modification and the Contract Number associated with any modification to date. (List each modification separately.)

Original Contract (2018) (PO139222) \$500,000.00 Renewal #1 (2019) \$500,000.00

9. A full description of the work to be performed as part of the proposed contract renewal. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

This legislation is a planned renewal to an existing contract. It extends the time and adds budget to perform additional task order work. The Scope of Services is attached.

10. An explanation of why the work to be performed as part of the contract renewal cannot be bid out. (Indicating the work to be a logical extension of the contract is not sufficient explanation.)

This work was originally planned as a 4-year effort funded by annual renewals because it includes tasks which stretch across several funding years, and because the nature of the work requires the firm to develop a deep and detailed understanding of our plants and how we operate them. Bidding out this work would risk funding this substantial startup effort again, and would require the multi-year work to start again at the beginning.

11. A cost summary to include the original contract amount, the cost of each renewal to date (list each renewal separately), the cost of the modification being requested in the legislation, the estimated cost of any future known modifications and a total estimate of the contract cost.

Cost summary:

Original Contract	\$ 500,000.00
Renewal 1 (anticipated 2019)	\$ 500,000.00
Renewal 2 (anticipated 2020)	\$ 500,000.00
Renewal 3 (anticipated 2021)	\$ 500,000.00
ESTIMATED CONTRACT TOTAL	\$ 2,000,000.00

12. An explanation of how the cost of the renewal was determined.

The cost proposal was provided by CDM Smith, Inc., reviewed by the Division of Sewerage and Drainage and was deemed acceptable.

13. Subconsultant information

*See Utilization Form

*For engineering agreements:

Information regarding subconsultants should be submitted on the Subcontractor Work Identification Form Located on the Fiscal Intranet site under "DPU Fiscal Forms" (see link): http://dpuweb/DPUFiscal/tabid/148/Default.aspx

This form should have sub-Consultants identified to work on this contract, their contract compliance no. & expiration date, and their status (NPO, MAJ, MBE, FBE, HL1, AS1, or MBR), name, C.C. No./Exp. Date, status, brief Scope of work for each subcontractor, and their estimate of dollar value to be paid.

***Effective 2/1/2018, Section 329 of the Municipal Code differentiates between contract modifications and renewals. Modifications are unforeseen circumstances that require