TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	EAST FRANKLINTON PHASE 1		Bid Date:	January 15, 2020
Department/Division:	Public Utilities/DOSD + DOW	Initial Apparer	t Low Bidder:	 Elite Excavating
Section:	Sanitary Sewer + Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid:	\$ 3,447,682.50

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	650560-100001 & 690236-10011	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Rob Herr, P.E.	Elite Excavating	Complete Gen'l	Shelly & Sands			
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,164,801.25	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$ 3,447,682.50	\$3,965,718.98	\$4,380,160.00			
		15.03%	10.45%	-100.00%			

PART IA: F	Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for I	esponsive bid)
а	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES	YES	YES			
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES	YES	YES			
с	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B9	YES	YES	YES			
d	If applicable, the bidder listed a (sub)contractor(s) with an active water or sewer contractor tappers license (CMS 107.02)	YES	YES	YES			
e	Digital ID on bid is from a person authorized to enter into contract on behalf of the company ("name of person singing contract" on Signature Affidavit must match the name on the Digital ID). Proposal Signature Affidavit	YES	YES	YES			
f	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	EAST FRANKLINTON PHASE 1		Bid Date:	lanuary 15, 2020
Department/Division:	Public Utilities/DOSD + DOW	Initial Appare	nt Low Bidder:	Elite Excavating
Section:	Sanitary Sewer + Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$ 3,447,682.50

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		650560-100001 & 690236-10011	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	anager:	Rob Herr, P.E.	Elite Excavating	Complete Gen'l	Shelly & Sands			
Engineer'	's Estimate:	\$ 3,164,801.25	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 3,447,682.50	\$3,965,718.98	\$4,380,160.00			
PART IB: F	Responsiveness Cri	teria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for r	esponsive bid)
а		ed a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	YES	YES	YES			
b	are licensed constru	e listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors uction trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	YES			
с	qualified responsibl	bcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- e or provisionally responsible licensed trade 9.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are	NA	NA	YES			
d	work under which the	e listed, the bidder listed the division/section of ne sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no listed, enter "NA") FORM B5	NA	NA	YES			
e	subcontractor per d more than one sub	e listed and the bidder listed more than one livision/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? o licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA	NA	NA			
f	trade subcontractor responsible. (329.2	affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction is are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally 0)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade e listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	YES			
g		subcontractors who were currently suspended or /. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES	YES	YES			
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	EAST FRANKLINTON PHASE 1		Bid Date:	Ja	anuary 15, 2020
Department/Division:	Public Utilities/DOSD + DOW	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:	E	lite Excavating
Section:	Sanitary Sewer + Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	3,447,682.50

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		650560-100001 & 690236-10011	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	lanager:	Rob Herr, P.E.	Elite Excavating	Complete Gen'l	Shelly & Sands			
Engineer	's Estimate:	\$ 3,164,801.25	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 3,447,682.50	\$3,965,718.98	\$4,380,160.00			
PART IC: F	Responsiveness Cr	iteria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for r	esponsive bid)
а		omit more than one bid for same work. (See ection for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES	YES			
b	Bidder acknowled	lged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
c		vith technical pre-qualification ification requirements where applicable.	YES	YES	YES			
d	The bid contains invitation for bid. (3	no conditions or qualifications not provided in the 329.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES	YES			
e	Bidder added no p (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	rovisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES	YES	YES			
f	Bidder submitted	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2	YES	YES	YES			
g	Bidder did not sul FORM B2	bmit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i)	YES	YES	YES			
h	Bid prices are mate	erially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B3	YES	YES	YES			
i		egularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid f(1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
j		a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. ORM B3 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES	YES	YES			
k		a lump sum price if required . (329.23)(f)(1)(h) o sum is not an option, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES			
I		ied with section 329.20(h) regarding 29.23)(f)(1)(k) FORM B5	YES	YES	YES			
m		ain alterations, omissions, errors, such that it does documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES	YES	YES			
n	Bid responds to b (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	id documents in all material respects.	YES	YES	YES			

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	EAST FRANKLINTON PHASE 1		Bid Date:	Ja	anuary 15, 2020
Department/Division:	Public Utilities/DOSD + DOW	Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:	E	lite Excavating
Section:	Sanitary Sewer + Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid:	\$	3,447,682.50

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
CIP No.		650560-100001	& 690236-10011	COMPANY NAME					
Project Ma	anager:	Rob Herr, P.E.		Elite Excavating	Complete Gen'l	Shelly & Sands			
Engineer's	s Estimate:	\$	3,164,801.25	Initial Bid Amount					
				\$ 3,447,682.50	\$3,965,718.98	\$4,380,160.00			
0	Bid is complete. (32	29.23)(f)(1)(e)		YES	YES	YES			
			BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

PROJECT:	EAST FRANKLINTON PHASE 1		Bid Date:	J	anuary 15, 2020
Department/Division:	Public Utilities/DOSD + DOW	Initial Apparer	t Low Bidder:		Elite Excavating
Section:	Sanitary Sewer + Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	3,447,682.50

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	650560-100001 & 690236-10011	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Rob Herr, P.E.	Elite Excavating	Complete Gen'l	Shelly & Sands			
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,164,801.25	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$ 3,447,682.50	\$3,965,718.98	\$4,380,160.00			

		A "no" answer in Pa	rt IE will not necessaril	y render a bid non-res	oonsive. Bidder must l	nave a valid contract co	ompliance no. prior to	
PART ID: F	Responsiveness Criteria, Contract Compliance	contract execution.						
а	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has an active contract compliance number at the time of bid.	YES	YES	YES				
				Did Deenensive			Weisen Legislation	
	Bid Responsiveness, Waiver Considerations:		, ,		Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	
	Proceed to Part II?	YES	YES	YES				

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 3,447,682.50	Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Elite Excavating	Manually Enter Information

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	EAST FRANK	Bid I	Date:	January	15, 2020		
Department/Division:	Public Utilities/DOSD + DOW		Responsive Low E	Elite Excavating			
Section:	Sanitary Sewer + Distribution		Responsive Low	\$	3,447,682.50		
CIP No.	650560-100001 & 690236-10011	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME

Project Manager:	Rob Herr, P.E.		Elite Excav	ating	Complete Gen'l	Shelly & Sands	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$	3,164,801.25	Initial Bid An	nount	Initial Bid Amount				
			\$ 3,447,	682.50	\$ 3,965,718.98	\$ 4,380,160.00	0	0	0

Part IIA: 3	329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0	for "no")
а	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B3, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	NO	NO			
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES			
с	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES			
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES			
	Responsibility Score:	8	7	7	0	0	0
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES	YES	YES			

Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PR	OJECT:	ECT: EAST FRANKLINTON PHASE				L	Bid Date:				January 15, 2020	
Departme	nt/Division:	Public Utilities/D	OSD + DOW			Eva	aluated Low Bidde	dder (from Tabs I and II):			Elite Excavating	
Section:		Sanitary Sewer +	Distribution			E	valuated Low Bid	(from Tabs	I and II) :		\$	3,447,682.50
CIP No.		650560-100001 8	8 600226 1001	COME	ANY NAME		OMPANY NAME	COMDA	NY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
	_		x 090230-1001.			-	-					
Project Ma	anager:	Rob Herr, P.E.		Elite	Excavating	C	Complete Gen'l	Shelly	& Sands	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's	s Estimate:	\$	3,164,801.25	Bid	Amount		Bid Amount	Bid A	mount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
				\$	3,447,682.50	\$	3,965,718.98	\$ 4	380,160.00	NA	NA	NA
PART III: 32	9.(01)(u) and 329.2	212 Local Bidder										
		IS BID LOCAL?			NO		YES	Y	ES			
To look up i	f a bidder is local,	use the Office of Constructio	n Prequalification's list	located at	t	<u>X:\Co</u>	onstruction Prequa	lification				
PART IIIA:												
step 1 IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL_STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV. IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL. CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)												

Part IIIB:	Part IIB:										
step 2	Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID.	0.000%	15.026%	27.047%	NA	NA	NA				
	Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID?	YES	NO	NO	NA	NA	NA				
step 4	If step 3 is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?										
step 5	INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO (\$0)										

Part IIIC:			
step 6	REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB:	\$ 3,447,682.50	Manually Enter Amount
step 7	REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB:	Elite Excavating	Manually Enter Information

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

P	ROJECT:		EAST FRANKLINT	ON PHASE 1		Bid I	Date:	January 15, 2020		
Departme	ent/Division:	Public Utilities/DO	SD + DOW		Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I, II and III):			Elite Excavating		
Section:		Sanitary Sewer + D	Distribution		Evaluated Low Bid (f	rom Tabs I, II and III) :		\$	3,447,683	
CIP No.		650560-100001 &	690236-100115	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
Project M	anager:	Rob Herr, P.E.		Elite Excavating	Complete Gen'l	Shelly & Sands	NA	NA	NA	
Engineer'	's Estimate:	\$	3,164,801.25	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	
PART IV: 3	29.(17) Environmenta	l Preference		\$ 3,447,682.50	\$ 3,965,718.98	\$ 4,380,160.00	NA	NA	NA	
	arent Low Bid:	\$	3,447,682.50	Apparent Low Bidder:	Elite Ex	cavating				
PART IV: 3	29.(17) Environmenta	I Preference			Γ	[]		[
step 1		ed low bidder submit "environm parent low bidder. For all othe	entally preferable" bid? Answer er bidder's, input "NA"	YES	NA	NA				
step 2	Excluding apparent	low bidder, did bidder submi	t "environmentally preferable" bid?	NA	YES	YES				
step 3	then the apparent/eva		in environmentally preferable bid, er for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps							
	If the apparent/evalua proceed to Steps 6 ar		in environmentally preferable bid,							
step 4		tal preference for each bid. It is , for a maximum of \$20,000 pe	s up to five percent (5%) of the non- r bid.	0.000%	15.026%	27.047%	NA	NA	NA	
step 5	Preference amount fo applied).	r each eligible bid (if 0, then no	environmental preference was	\$-	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	NA	NA	NA	
step 6		onmental preference, except if se, both claiming EP cancels it		\$ 3,447,682.50	\$ 3,945,718.98	\$ 4,360,160.00	NA	NA	NA	
step 7	Low Bid Amount:	\$ 3,447,682.50	Low Bidder:	Elite Excavating						
		*		•						

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

TAB 6: U.S. and Ohio EPA Requirements

PROJE	CT:	EAST FRANKL	INTON PHASE 1		Bid I	Date:	January 15, 2020		
Department/Divi	sion:	Public Utilities/DOSD + DOW		Initial Apparen	t Low Bidder:		Elite Exc	cavating	
Section:		Sanitary Sewer + Distribution		Initial Appare			\$ -		
		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
CIP No.		650560-100001 & 690236-10011	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
Project Manager	r:	Rob Herr, P.E.	Elite Excavating	Complete Gen'l	Shelly & Sands	\$-			
Engineer's Estim	nate:	\$ 3,164,801.25	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	
			\$ 3,447,682.50	\$ 3,965,718.98	\$ 4,380,160.00	\$ -			
			15.03%	10.45%	-100.00%				
Responsiveness Cr	iteria, WPCLF/		A "NO" answer will not submitted prior to cont schedule.						
FORM B10		ed Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned r Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Certification	Yes	Yes	Yes				
FORM B11	the US EPA	ed Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and nsibility Matters Form	Yes	Yes	Yes				
FORM B12.2		ed Low Bidder" has provided a completed and PA Form 6100-3 from each proposed subcontractor	Yes	Yes	Yes				
FORM B12.3	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned US EPA Form 6100-4		Yes	Yes	Yes				
GOOD FAITH EFFORTS			Yes	Yes	Yes				
FORM B14		ed Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned Iron and Steel (AIS) Acknowledgement Form	Yes	Yes	Yes				

LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 3,447,682.50	Manually Enter Amount
LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB 6	Elite Excavating	Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Lo	ow Bidder/Bid:		Elite Excavating		\$ 3,447,682.5	0			
Pre	oject:		EAST FRANKL	INTON PHASE 1					
Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)	With respect to a bidder whose bid i regarding performance and costs or					details regarding the b	id and/or histo	orical information	
	Bidders	Elite Excavating	Complete Gen'l	Shelly & Sands	0	0	<u> </u>	0	
	Bid Percent Difference btwn Bid and <mark>Next Highest</mark> Bid	\$ 3,447,682.50 15.03%			\$ -	\$	- \$	-	
Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder? If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h? If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.									
Bid Guarantee Requirements	Bid Guarantee Requirements Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed. Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid? YES Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarantee submitted? YES								
	Bid Bond Bond on City's bond form Surety power of attorney Most recent surety financial stateme Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Ce								
Contract Compliance	Are all subcontractors contract com If there are not any subcontractors,		the contract can be exect	uted.	YES				
Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp	Is the corporation foreign or the indi		on-resident of the State o	f Ohio?	NO	_			
	If yes, business entity shall register service of summons, in any action in				N/A				
	This is required before the contract	is awarded. "Awarded" i	means before the Director	accepts/approves the bi	id				
	http://www.sos.state.oh.us/								
	evaluation of the bids, I recommend	-	tor be awarded the cont	ract for:					
Project Name:	EAST FRANKLINTON PHASE 1		-						
Recommended Bidder: Contract Amount:	Elite Excavating Co. of Ohio \$3,447,682.50		_						
Evaluator's Name: Evaluation Completion Date:	M. Smith 1/22/2020								

TAB VII: Notes

Water CIP Number changed from 690236-100084 to 690236-100115.

Overall bid questions:

(IC-c): 1. Are there any technical requirements / specifications required? If so, does the lowest bidder meet them? (marked "yes" for now)

PM's responses:

Yes, per Rob Herr. CLY

Complete General

(IIA-a):Statistically Comparable?Marked "No"; 25% higher than E.E. and 15% higher than lowest bidder.

Shelly & Sands

(IIA-a): Statistically Comparable?Marked "No"; 38% higher than E.E. and 27% higher than lowest bidder.