| PROJECT: | Roof Redirection Clintor | nville 1 Blenheim/Glencoe 1 Bid Date: | | May 13, 2020 | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities | Initial Apparen | nt Low Bidder: | | Igel & Co. |
| Section: | Sewerage & Dainage / SSES | Initial Appare | ent Low Bid : | \$ | 2,197,833.00 |

| | Bids from Apparent lowest to highest: | Apparent Low | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| CIP No. | 650871-110173 | COMPANY NAME |
| Project Manager: | Fang Cheng, P.E. | Igel & Co. | Mid-State Bsmnt. | Facemyer | | | |
| Engineer's Estimate: | \$ 3,345,386.00 | Initial Bid Amount |
| | • | \$ 2,197,833.00 | \$2,333,712.98 | \$ 2,673,831.60 | | | |
| | | 6.18% | 14.57% | -100.00% | | | |

| PART IA: F | Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20) | INPUT "YES" F | OR POSITIVE RESPONS | E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT | IVE RESPONSE (all "yes | s" answers needed for | responsive bid) |
|------------|---|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| а | The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c) | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| b | The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1 | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| c | The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B9 | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| d | If applicable, the bidder listed a (sub)contractor(s) with an active water or sewer contractor tappers license (CMS 107.02) | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| e | Digital ID on bid is from a person authorized to enter into contract on behalf of the company ("name of person singing contract" on Signature Affidavit must match the name on the Digital ID). Proposal Signature Affidavit | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| f | There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e) | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| | BID IS: | RESPONSIVE | RESPONSIVE | RESPONSIVE | | | |

| PROJECT: | Roof Redirection Clinton | nville 1 Blenheim/Glencoe 1 | Bid Date: | May 13, 2020 |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities | Initial Appare | nt Low Bidder: | lgel & Co. |
| Section: | Sewerage & Dainage / SSES | Initial Appar | ent Low Bid : | \$ 2,197,833.00 |

| | | Bids from Apparent lowest to highest: | Apparent Low | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th |
|------------|---|--|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| CIP No. | | 650871-110173 | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME |
| Project N | lanager: | Fang Cheng, P.E. | Igel & Co. | Mid-State Bsmnt. | Facemyer | | | |
| Engineer | 's Estimate: | \$ 3,345,386.00 | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount |
| | | | \$ 2,197,833.00 | \$2,333,712.98 | \$ 2,673,831.60 | | | |
| PART IB: I | Responsiveness Cr | iteria, Subcontractors (329.20) | INPUT "YES" F | OR POSITIVE RESPONS | E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT | IVE RESPONSE (all "yes | " answers needed for | responsive bid) |
| а | | ed a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1) | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| b | licensed constructi | re listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors are on trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM B5 de subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") | NA | NA | NA | | | |
| с | qualified responsib | bcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- le or provisionally responsible licensed trade 29.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are) | NA | NA | NA | | | |
| d | work under which t | re listed, the bidder listed the division/section of the sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no listed, enter "NA") FORM B5 | NA | NA | NA | | | |
| e | subcontractor per more than one sub | re listed and the bidder listed more than one division/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter | NA | NA | NA | | | |
| f | trade subcontracto responsible. (329.2 | affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction rs are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally 20)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade re listed, enter "NA") | NA | NA | NA | | | |
| g | | subcontractors who were currently suspended or y. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5) | YES | NA | YES | | | |
| | | BID IS: | RESPONSIVE | RESPONSIVE | RESPONSIVE | | | |

| PROJECT: | Roof Redirection Clintor | nville 1 Blenheim/Glencoe 1 | Bid Date: | May 13, 2020 |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities | Initial Apparer | nt Low Bidder: | lgel & Co. |
| Section: | Sewerage & Dainage / SSES | Initial Appare | ent Low Bid : | \$ 2,197,833.00 |

| | | Bids from Apparent lowest to highest: | Apparent Low | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th |
|------------|---|--|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| CIP No. | | 650871-110173 | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME |
| Project M | anager: | Fang Cheng, P.E. | lgel & Co. | Mid-State Bsmnt. | Facemyer | | | |
| Engineer' | s Estimate: | \$ 3,345,386.00 | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount |
| | | | \$ 2,197,833.00 | \$2,333,712.98 | \$ 2,673,831.60 | | | |
| PART IC: R | Responsiveness Cri | teria, Bid Specific (329.23) | INPUT "YES" F | OR POSITIVE RESPONS | E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT | VE RESPONSE (all "yes | " answers needed for i | responsive bid) |
| а | | nit more than one bid for same work. (See ction for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a) | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| b | Bidder acknowledg | ged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| с | requirements/specif (329.23)(f)(1)(c) | ith technical pre-qualification fication requirements where applicable. | NA | NA | NA | | | |
| d | The bid contains n invitation for bid. (3) | o conditions or qualifications not provided in the 29.23)(f)(1)(d) | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| e | Bidder added no pr (329.23)(f)(1)(f) | ovisions reserving right to accept or reject award. | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| f | | a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2 | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| g | Bidder did not sub FORM B2 | mit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| h | Bid prices are mate | rially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B3 | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| i | | gularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid (1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e) | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| j | | a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. DRM B3 (If a unit price is not an option, enter | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| k | | a lump sum price if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(h) sum is not an option, enter "NA") | NA | NA | NA | | | |
| I | Bidder has complie (329.23)(f)(1)(k) FC | ed with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. DRM B5 | YES | NA | YES | | | |
| m | | in alterations, omissions, errors, such that it does documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j) | YES | NA | YES | | | |
| n | Bid responds to bid (329.23)(f)(1)(e) | d documents in all material respects. | YES | NA | YES | | | |
| 0 | Bid is complete. (32 | 29.23)(f)(1)(e) | YES | NA | YES | | | |
| | | BID IS: | RESPONSIVE | RESPONSIVE | RESPONSIVE | | | |

| PROJECT: | Roof Redirection Clintor | nville 1 Blenheim/Glencoe 1 Bid Date: | | May 13, 2020 | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities | Initial Apparer | nt Low Bidder: | | Igel & Co. |
| Section: | Sewerage & Dainage / SSES | Initial Appare | ent Low Bid : | \$ | 2,197,833.00 |

| | Bids from Apparent lowest to highest: | Apparent Low | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| CIP No. | 650871-110173 | COMPANY NAME |
| Project Manager: | Fang Cheng, P.E. | Igel & Co. | Mid-State Bsmnt. | Facemyer | | | |
| Engineer's Estimate: | \$ 3,345,386.00 | Initial Bid Amount |
| | | \$ 2,197,833.00 | \$2,333,712.98 | \$ 2,673,831.60 | | | |

| PART ID: Responsiveness Criteria, Contract Compliance | | | | | | ompliance no. prior to | |
|--|--------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| a The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has an active contract comp number at the time of bid. | liance | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| | | Bid Responsive, | Bid Responsive, | Bid Responsive, | Waiver Legislation | Waiver Legislation | Waiver Legislation |
| Bid Responsiveness, Waiver Considerat | | 1 / | Continue to Part II | Continue to Part II | Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office | Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office | Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office |
| Proceed to Part II? | | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I | \$ 2,197,833.00 | Manually Enter Amount |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I | Igel & Co. | Manually Enter Information |

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

| PROJECT: | Roof Redirection Clinto | nville 1 Blenheim/Glencoe 1 | Bid Date: | May 13, 2020 |
|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities | Responsive Low I | Bidder (from Tab I): | lgel & Co. |
| Section: | Sewerage & Dainage / SSES | Responsive Low Bid (from Tab I): | | \$ 2,197,833.00 |

| CIP No. | 650871-110173 | COMPANY NAME |
|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Project Manager: | Fang Cheng, P.E. | Igel & Co. | Mid-State Bsmnt. | Facemyer | NA | NA | NA |
| Engineer's Estimate: | \$ 3,345,386.00 | Initial Bid Amount |
| | | \$ 2,197,833.00 | \$ 2,333,712.98 | \$ 2,673,831.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | |

| PART IIA: 3 | 29.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria | INPUT " | YES" FOR POSITIVE RES | PONSE OR "NO" FOR N | EGATIVE RESPONSE (1 | point for each "yes", 0 f | for "no") |
|-------------|---|---------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| а | Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B3, SPECIFICATIONS | YES | YES | NA | | | |
| | The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| | The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6 , SPECIFICATIONS | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| d | The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B7 | YES | NA | YES | | | |
| е | The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B7 | YES | NA | YES | | | |
| f | The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B7 | YES | NA | YES | | | |
| g | The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7 | YES | NA | YES | | | |
| h | The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8 | YES | NA | YES | | | |
| | Responsibility Score: | 8 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Continue to TAB 3? | YES | YES | YES | | | |

Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

| PROJECT: | Roof Redirection Clinto | nville 1 Blenheim/Glencoe 1 | Bid Date: | Bid Date: May 13, 2020 | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities | Evaluated Low Bidde | er (from Tabs I and II): | | lgel & Co. | | | |
| Section: | Sewerage & Dainage / SSES | Evaluated Low Bid | \$ | 2,197,833.00 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| CIP No. | 650871-110173 | 3 | COM | IPANY NAME | CON | MPANY NAME | COMPA | NY NAME | COMPANY | IAME | COMPAN | (NAME | COMPANY | NAME |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------|----------------|----------|-------------|---------|------|---------|--------|---------|------|
| Project Manager: | Fang Cheng, P.I | Ε. | I | lgel & Co. | Mid | d-State Bsmnt. | Face | emyer | NA | | NA | 1 | NA | i |
| Engineer's Estimate: | \$ | 3,345,386.00 | Bid Amount Bid Amount | | Bid A | mount | Bid Amou | nt | Bid Am | ount | Bid Amo | ount | | |
| | | | \$ | 2,197,833.00 | \$ | 2,333,712.98 | \$ 2 | ,673,831.60 | NA | | NA | | NA | |
| PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329. | 212 Local Bidder | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | IS BID LOCAL? | YES | NO | NO | | | |
|--|--|---------------|-----|----|----|--|--|--|
|--|--|---------------|-----|----|----|--|--|--|

To look up if a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list located at

X:\Construction Pregualification

PART IIIA: IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STO data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV. step 1 IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)

| Part IIIB: | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|--------|--------|---------|----|----|----|
| step 2 | Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. | 0.000% | 6.182% | 21.658% | NA | NA | NA |
| | Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID? | YES | NO | NO | NA | NA | NA |
| | If step 3 is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)? | | | | | | |
| step 5 | INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO (\$0) | | | | | | |

| Part IIIC: | | | |
|------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| step 6 | REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB: | \$ 2,197,833.00 | Manually Enter Amount |
| step 7 | REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB: | Igel & Co. | Manually Enter Information |
| | | | |

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

| | Roof Redirection Clintonville 1 Blenheim/Glencoe 1 | | | | | | Bid Date: | | | May 13, 2020 | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| nt/Division: | Public Utilities | | | | Evaluated | Low Bidder | (fron | n Tabs I, II and III): | | lgel 8 | & Co. |
| | Sewerage & Daina | ge / SSES | | | Evaluate | d Low Bid (fr | rom [·] | Tabs I, II and III) : | | \$ | 2,197,833 |
| | 650871-110173 | | 00 | | COMPA | | C | | | | COMPANY NAME |
| inager: | | | | | | 0 | Facemyer | NA | NA | NA | |
| Estimate: | \$ | 3,345,386.00 | В | id Amount | Bid / | Amount | | Bid Amount | Bid Amount | Bid Amount | Bid Amount |
| | | | \$ | 2,197,833.00 | \$2 | ,333,712.98 | \$ | 2,673,831.60 | NA | NA | NA |
| . , | | 2 197 833 00 | Appare | nt Low Bidder: | | lael 8 | 8 C.o | | | | |
| rent-Low Did | Ý | 2,197,000.00 | Appare | The Low Diduct. | | igert | | | | | |
| 9.(17) Environmenta | Preference | | | | Γ | | | | | | |
| | | | | YES | 1 | NA | | NA | | | |
| Excluding apparent | ow bidder, did bidder submi | "environmentally preferable" bid? | | NA | | YES | | YES | | | |
| then the apparent/eva | luated low bidder is lead bidde | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | n environmentally preferable bid, | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0.000% | 6.: | 182% | | 21.658% | NA | NA | NA |
| Preference amount for applied). | each eligible bid (if 0, then no | environmental preference was | \$ | - | \$ | 20,000.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 | NA | NA | NA |
| | | | \$ | 2,197,833.00 | \$ 2 | ,313,712.98 | \$ | 2,653,831.60 | NA | NA | NA |
| Low Bid Amount: | \$ 2,197,833.00 | Low Bidder: | | lgel & Co. | | | | | | | |
| 9 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 1 9 1 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | D.(17) Environmental ent Low Bid: D.(17) Environmental D.(| Estimate: \$ 2.(17) Environmental Preference ent Low Bid: \$ 2.(17) Environmental Preference Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environm" "YES" or "NO" for apparent low bidder. For all othe Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit BTOP. If apparent/evaluated low bidder submitted a hen the apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidde 1-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5. f the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit a proceed to Steps 6 and 7. Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is environmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 pe Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no applied). Bid amount less environmental preference, except if preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it | Fang Cheng, P.E. Estimate: \$ 3,345,386.00 Did apparent/evaluated Preference 2,197,833.00 Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer YES" or "NO" for apparent low bidder, Gid bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer YES" or "NO" for apparent low bidder, Gid bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? STOP. It apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps 1-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5. ft he apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, proceed to Steps 6 and 7. Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non-anvironmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid. Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied). Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference was applied). | nager: Fang Cheng, P.E. Estimate: \$ 3,345,386.00 B \$ \$ 2(17) Environmental Preference \$ ent Low Bid: \$ 2,197,833.00 Appared \$ 2(17) Environmental Preference \$ Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer YES" or "NO" for apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA" Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? \$ STOP. If apparent/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bid, hen the apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps 1-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5. f the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, proceed to Steps 6 and 7. Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non-environmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid. Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied). \$ Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference was applied). \$ | nager: Fang Cheng, P.E. Igel & Co. Estimate: \$ 3,345,386.00 Bid Amount \$ 2,197,833.00 \$ 2,197,833.00 D.(17) Environmental Preference Apparent Low Bid: \$ 2,197,833.00 P.(17) Environmental Preference Apparent Low Bidder: Preference Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer YES Did apparent/evaluated low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA" YES Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? NA STOP. If apparent/evaluated low bidder submited an environmentally preferable bid, hen the apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps 1-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5. NA f the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, proceed to Steps 6 and 7. 0.000% Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non-environmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid. 0.000% Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied). \$ 2,197,833.00 Sid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference was applied). \$ 2,197,833.00 | nager: Fang Cheng, P.E. Igel & Co. Mid-Str. Estimate: \$ 3,345,386.00 Bid Amount Bid / \$ 2,197,833.00 \$ 2,197,833.00 \$ 2 20(17) Environmental Preference \$ 2,197,833.00 \$ 2 ent Low Bid: \$ 2,197,833.00 Apparent Low Bidder: 20(17) Environmental Preference \$ 2,197,833.00 Apparent Low Bidder: 20(17) Environmental Preference \$ 2,197,833.00 Apparent Low Bidder: 20(17) Environmental Preference \$ 2,197,833.00 \$ 2 210 apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer YES \$ 2 210 apparent/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bid. NA \$ 2 210 apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps I-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5. \$ 0.000% 6.: 16 the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, proceed to Steps 6 and 7. \$ 0.000% 6.: 2reference amount for | Parger: Fang Cheng, P.E. Igel & Co. Mid-State Bsmnt. Estimate: \$ 3,345,386.00 Bid Amount Bid Amount Status \$ 2,197,833.00 \$ 2,333,712.98 Autor District Status \$ 2,197,833.00 \$ 2,333,712.98 Autor District Status \$ 2,197,833.00 \$ 2,333,712.98 Build: \$ 2,197,833.00 \$ 2,333,712.98 C(17) Environmental Preference Igel & Co. Igel & Co. Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer YES" or "NO" for apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA" YES NA Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable bid. hen the apparent/evaluated low bidder submit an environmentally preferable bid. hen the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid. proceed to Steps 6 and 7. 0.000% 6.182% Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non- environmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid. \$ 2,0,000.00 \$ 2,0,000.00 Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied). \$ 2,197,833.00 \$ 2,313,712.98 | nager: Fang Cheng, P.E. Igel & Co. Mid-State Bsmnt. Estimate: \$ 3,345,386.00 Bid Amount Bid Amount S 2,197,833.00 \$ 2,333,712.98 \$ Autoriant Commental Preference S 2,197,833.00 \$ 2,333,712.98 \$ C(17) Environmental Preference Igel & Co. NA Igel & Co. Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer YES NA YES Stouding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? NA YES NA Excluding apparent/evaluated low bidder submited an environmentally preferable bid. NA YES S STOP, If apparent/evaluated low bidder submit an environmentally preferable bid. NA YES S STOP, If apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid. NA YES S Caculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non- 0.000% 6.182% S Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was pplied). \$ 2,197,833.00 \$ 2,313,712.98 \$ Sid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference was pplied). | nager: Fang Cheng, P.E. Igel & Co. Mid-State Bsmnt. Facemyer Estimate: \$ 3,345,386.00 Bid Amount Bid Amount Bid Amount Bid Amount Status \$ 2,197,833.00 \$ 2,333,712.98 \$ 2,673,831.60 Status \$ 2,197,833.00 Apparent Low Bidder: Igel & Co. Status \$ 2,197,833.00 Apparent Low Bidder: Igel & Co. Status \$ 2,197,833.00 Apparent Low Bidder: Igel & Co. Status \$ 2,197,833.00 YES NA NA Status YES NA NA YES YES Status \$ 2,197,833.00 YES YES YES YES YES Status \$ 2,197,833.00 \$ 2,197,833.00 \$ 2,197,833.00 \$ 2,197,833.00 \$ 2,165,8% Status | Fang Cheng, P.E. Igel & Co. Mid-State Bsmnt. Facemyer NA Estimate: \$ 3,345,386.00 Bid Amount Bid Amount Bid Amount Bid Amount Bid Amount S 2,197,833.00 \$ 2,333,712.98 \$ 2,673,831.60 NA SQL(7) Environmental Preference Igel & Co. Igel & Co. NA Paparent Low Bidder: Igel & Co. Igel & Co. NA SQL(7) Environmental Preference VES NA NA Preference VES NA NA Suddaparent low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer YES NA NA YES NA NA YES YES YES Studding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? NA YES YES YES Studding apparent/evaluated low bidder submit an environmentally preferable bid? NA YES YES YES YES Studding apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid. NA YES YES NA Steps 6 and 7. Sculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percrent (5%) of the non- environmental buid. If, or amaximum of \$20, | Fang Cheng, P.E. Igel & Co. Mid-State Bsmnt. Facemyer NA NA Estimate: \$ 3,345,386.00 Bid Amount < |

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

TAB 6: U.S. and Ohio EPA Requirements

| PROJECT: | Roof Redirection Clintor | ville 1 Blenheim/Glencoe 1 | Bid Date: | May 13, 2020 | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------|--------------|---|
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities | Initial Apparer | Igel & Co. | | |
| Section: | Sewerage & Dainage / SSES | Initial Apparent Low Bid : | | \$ | - |

| | | Bids from Apparent lowest to highest: | Apparent Low | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| CIP No. | | 650871-110173 | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME |
| | | | | Mid-State | | | | |
| Project Manager | r: | Fang Cheng, P.E. | Igel & Co. | Bsmnt. | Facemyer | \$- | | |
| Engineer's Estin | r's Estimate: \$ 3,345,386.00 | | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount |
| | | | \$ 2,197,833.00 | \$ 2,333,712.98 | \$ 2,673,831.60 | \$- | | |
| | | | 6.18% | 14.57% | | | | |
| Responsiveness Cr | iteria, WPCLF/ | WSRLA Compliance | A "NO" answer will no submitted prior to con schedule. | | | | | |
| FORM B10 | | ed Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned r Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Certification | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| FORM B11 | the US EPA 0 | ed Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and nsibility Matters Form | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| FORM B12.2 | | ed Low Bidder" has provided a completed and A Form 6100-3 from each proposed subcontractor | Yes | No | Yes | | | |
| FORM B12.3 | The "Evaluate US EPA Form | ed Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned n 6100-4 | Yes | No | Yes | | | |
| GOOD FAITH EFFORTS | Disadvantage 1.3% MBE ar | ed Low Bidder" has NOT met the EPA ed Business Enterprise (DBE) Utilization Goals of <u>rd</u> 1.0% WBE , so documentation of Good Faith cit DBE particiaption has been provided. | NA | Yes | NA | | | |
| FORM B14 | | ed Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned Iron and Steel (AIS) Acknowledgement Form | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I | \$ 2,197,833.00 | Manually Enter Amount |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB 6 | Igel & Co. | Manually Enter Information |

TAB V. Miscellaneous

| Apparent Low Bidder/Bid: | lgel & Co. | \$ | 2,197,833.00 |
|--------------------------|--|----|--------------|
| Project: | Roof Redirection Clintonville 1 Blenheim/Glencoe 1 | | |

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

| | | | | - | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------|-----|------------|--|
| | Bidders | lgel & Co. | Mid-State Bsmnt. | Facemyer | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Bid \$ | 2,197,833.00 | \$ 2,333,712.98 | \$ 2,673,831.60 | \$- | \$- | \$ - | |
| | Percent Difference btwn Bid and Next Highest Bid | 6.18% | 14.57% | -100.00% | | | | |
| | Is any bidder 10% or more below the If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under | evaluation have 'ye | es' answers for question | ns c, d, g, and h? | | | Yes YES | |
| Bid Guarantee Requirements | Below are the required documents for Is the guarantee greater than or equal to Did the bidder submit all of the required | 10% of the bid? | | ted? | YES YES | - | | |
| | Bid Bond Bond on City's bond form Surety power of attorney Most recent surety financial statement Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance | | | | | | | |
| Contract Compliance | Are all subcontractors contract complian If there are not any subcontractors, cho | se N/A. | | | YES | | | |
| Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp | Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio? NO If yes, business entity shall register with the Ohio Secretary of State's Office for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity, or both, brought in the State of Ohio. | | | | | | | |
| | This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid http://www.sos.state.oh.us/ | | | | | | | |
| Award recommendation: After | evaluation of the bids, I recommend the | following contract | tor be awarded the cont | ract for: | | | | |
| Project Name: | Roof Redirection Clintonville 1 Blo | nheim/Glencoe 1 | | | | | | |
| Recommended Bidder: | George J. Igel & Co., Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Contract Amount: | \$ 2,197,833.00 | | | | | | | |
| Evaluator's Name: | Missy Smith | | | | | | | |
| Evaluator o Humo. | | | | | | | | |

Evaluation Completion Date:

Missy Smith 5/14/2020

| Yes | |
|-----|--|
| YES | |
| | |

TAB VII: Notes

Overall bid questions:

(IC-c): 1. Are there any technical requirements / specifications required? If so, does the lowest bidder meet them? (Marked "N/A" to continue evaluation)

Mid State Basements

(IA-m thru o) + IIA:d thru h):

Bidder did not submit info on Forms B7 nor B8 so unable to evaluate. Marked "N/A" though since Attorney's Office has allowed us to ask for clarification in the past.

*Construction Prequalification list indicates they are not local.

Facemyer Co.

(IIA-a): Statistically Comparable?

Bidder is 20% lowe than Engineer's Estimate but 22% higher than lowest bidder. Marked "NA" to continue evaluation.

Groundworks OBA DBA Ohio Basement Authority was deemed Non-

PM's responses:

Per Supplemental Specification 200, Contractor shall have minimum of 3 years continuous successful experience installing drain tiles and/or storm sewers. Stantec has not been provided Igel's qualifications; no verification can be made.

Stantec will make a note of this in the recommendation letter, explaining that Attorney's Office has allowed City to ask for further clarification in the past.

Stantec will make a note of this in the recommendation letter.

Stantec will make a note of this in the