version:2/22/2017

PROJECT:	Thomas Lane Area Water	Line Improvements, Phase 2	Bid Date:	Se	ptember 23, 2020
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparei	nt Low Bidder:		Underground
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	2,472,064.43

	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.	690236-100094	COMPANY NAME					
Project Manager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Underground	Fields	Elite			
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,411,710.71	Initial Bid Amount					
		\$ 2,472,064.43	\$ 2,848,404.35	\$ 2,865,636.40			
		15.22%	0.60%	-100.00%			

PART IA: R	tesponsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for i	responsive bid)
a	The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c)	YES	YES	YES			
b	The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1	YES	YES	YES			
С	The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B9	YES	YES	YES			
d	If applicable, the bidder listed a (sub)contractor(s) with an active water or sewer contractor tappers license (CMS 107.02)	YES	YES	YES			
е	Digital ID on bid is from a person authorized to enter into contract on behalf of the company ("name of person singing contract" on Signature Affidavit must match the name on the Digital ID). Proposal Signature Affidavit	YES	YES	YES			
f	There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
	BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

version:2/22/2017

PROJECT:	Thomas Lane Area Water	Line Improvements, Phase 2	Bid Date:	S	September 23, 2020
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparei	nt Low Bidder:		Underground
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	2,472,064.43

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690236-100094	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	anager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Underground	Fields	Elite			
Engineer'	s Estimate:	\$ 3,411,710.71	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 2,472,064.43	\$ 2,848,404.35	\$ 2,865,636.40			
PART IB: R	Responsiveness Cri	teria, Subcontractors (329.20)	INPUT "YES" F	OR POSITIVE RESPONS	E OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	IVE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for i	responsive bid)
a		d a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1)	YES	YES	YES			
b	are licensed constru	e listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors uction trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA			
С	qualified responsible	ocontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre- e or provisionally responsible licensed trade 9.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are	NA	NA	NA			
d	work under which th	e listed, the bidder listed the division/section of ne sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no listed, enter "NA") FORM B5	NA	NA	NA			
e	subcontractor per d more than one sub	e listed and the bidder listed more than one ivision/section of work, did the bidder explain why is listed for the division/section of work? o licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter	NA	NA	NA			
f	trade subcontractor responsible. (329.2)	affidavit, that its proposed licensed construction is are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally 0)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade e listed, enter "NA")	NA	NA	NA			
g		subcontractors who were currently suspended or (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5)	YES	YES	YES			
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

version:2/22/2017

PROJECT:	Thomas Lane Area Water	Line Improvements, Phase 2	Bid Date:	S	September 23, 2020
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water	Initial Apparei	nt Low Bidder:		Underground
Section:	Distribution	Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :	\$	2,472,064.43

		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690236-100094	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project M	anager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Underground	Fields	Elite			
Engineer'	s Estimate:	\$ 3,411,710.71	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 2,472,064.43	\$ 2,848,404.35	\$ 2,865,636.40			
PART IC: R	tesponsiveness Cri	iteria, Bid Specific (329.23)	INPUT "YES" FO	OR POSITIVE RESPONSI	OR "NO" FOR NEGAT	VE RESPONSE (all "yes	" answers needed for I	responsive bid)
a		mit more than one bid for same work. (See ection for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a)	YES	YES	YES			
b	Bidder acknowledg	ged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
С	requirements/speci (329.23)(f)(1)(c)	rith technical pre-qualification fication requirements where applicable.	YES	YES	YES			
d	The bid contains n invitation for bid. (3)	no conditions or qualifications not provided in the 29.23)(f)(1)(d)	YES	YES	YES			
е	Bidder added no pr (329.23)(f)(1)(f)	rovisions reserving right to accept or reject award.	YES	YES	YES			
f	Bidder submitted a	a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2	YES	YES	YES			
g	Bidder did not sub FORM B2	omit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i)	YES	YES	YES			
h	Bid prices are mate	erially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B3	YES	YES	YES			
i		egularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid $y(1)(j)$ and $(329.23)(f)(1)(e)$	YES	YES	YES			
j		a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. ORM B3 (If a unit price is not an option, enter	YES	YES	YES			
k		a lump sum price if required . (329.23)(f)(1)(h) sum is not an option, enter "NA")	YES	YES	YES			
I		ed with section 329.20(h) regarding (9.23)(f)(1)(k) FORM B5	YES	YES	YES			
m		ain alterations, omissions, errors, such that it does documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j)	YES	YES	YES			
n	Bid responds to bid (329.23)(f)(1)(e)	d documents in all material respects.	YES	YES	YES			
0	Bid is complete. (32	29.23)(f)(1)(e)	YES	YES	YES			
		BID IS:	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE			

version:2/22/2017

PRO	OJECT:	Thomas Lane Area Water	Line Improvemen	ts, Phase 2	Bid	Date:	Septembe	er 23, 2020
Departmen	nt/Division:	Public Utilities / Water		Initial Apparer	nt Low Bidder:		Under	ground
Section:		Distribution		Initial Appare	ent Low Bid :		\$	2,472,064.43
		Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:	Apparent Low	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
CIP No.		690236-100094	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Ma	nager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Underground	Fields	Elite			
Engineer's	Estimate:	\$ 3,411,710.71	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
			\$ 2,472,064.43	\$ 2,848,404.35	\$ 2,865,636.40			
	•	iteria, Contract Compliance	A "no" answer in Par	rt IE will not necessarily		oonsive. Bidder must hexecution.	nave a valid contract co	mpliance no. prior to
2	number at the time	w Bidder" has an active contract compliance e of bid.	YES	YES	YES			
	Bid Res			Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office	Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office
į		Proceed to Part II?	YES	YES	YES			
[LO	WEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 2,472,064.43		Manually Enter Amou	ınt		
	LOW	/EST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I	Underground Utilities			Manually Enter Inform	nation	

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

PROJECT:	Thomas Lane Area Wate	r Line Improvemen	its, Phase 2	Bid [Date:	Septembe	er 23, 2020
Department/Division:	Public Utilities / Water		Responsive Low E	Bidder (from Tab I):		Undergrou	nd Utilities
Section:	Distribution		Responsive Low	Bid (from Tab I):		\$	2,472,064.43
CIP No.	690236-100094	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME
Project Manager:	Evan DiSanto, P.E.	Underground	Fields	Elite	NA	NA	NA
Engineer's Estimate:	\$ 3,411,710.71	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount
		\$ 2,472,064.43	\$ 2,848,404.35	\$ 2,865,636.40	0	0	0

PART IIA: 3	329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria	INPUT "	YES" FOR POSITIVE RES	PONSE OR "NO" FOR N	EGATIVE RESPONSE (1	point for each "yes", 0 f	for "no")
а	Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B3, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES			
b	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES			
С	The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6, SPECIFICATIONS	YES	YES	YES			
d	The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			
е	The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			
f	The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			
g	The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7	YES	YES	YES			
h	The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8	YES	YES	YES			
	Responsibility Score:	8	8	8	0	0	0
	Continue to TAB 3?	YES	YES	YES			

Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

PROJECT: Thomas Lane Area Water Line Improvements, Phase 2 Department/Division: Public Utilities / Water Section: Distribution Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I and II): Section: Distribution Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I and II): Section: COMPANY NAME COMPANY	A NA NA nount Bid Amount Bid Amount
Section: Distribution	Y NAME COMPANY NAME COMPANY NAME A NA NA NOUNT BID Amount Bid Amount
CIP No. 690236-100094 COMPANY NAME COMPANY	Y NAME COMPANY NAME COMPANY NAME A NA NA NOUNT BID Amount BID Amount
Project Manager: Evan DiSanto, P.E. Underground Fields Elite NV. Engineer's Estimate: \$ 3,411,710.71 Bid Amount Bid Amount Bid Amount Bid Amount Bid Amount S. 2,472,064.43 \$ 2,848,404.35 \$ 2,865,636.40 NV. PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329.212 Local Bidder IS BID LOCAL? NO N	A NA NA nount Bid Amount Bid Amount
Project Manager: Evan DiSanto, P.E. Underground Fields Elite NV. Engineer's Estimate: \$ 3,411,710.71 Bid Amount Bid Amount Bid Amount Bid Amount Bid Amount S. 2,472,064.43 \$ 2,848,404.35 \$ 2,865,636.40 NV. PART III: 329,(01)(u) and 329.212 Local Bidder IS BID LOCAL? NO	A NA NA nount Bid Amount Bid Amount
Engineer's Estimate: \$ 3,411,710.71 Bid Amount Bid Amount \$ 2,472,064.43 \$ 2,848,404.35 \$ 2,865,636.40 NA PART III: 329,(01)(u) and 329.212 Local Bidder IS BID LOCAL? NO NO NO NO To look up if a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list located at PART III. IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV. step 1 IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information) Part IIIB: step 2 Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. 0.000% 15.224% 15.921% NA	nount Bid Amount Bid Amount
S BID LOCAL? NO	
PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329.212 Local Bidder IS BID LOCAL? NO	A NA NA
IS BID LOCAL? NO NO NO To look up if a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list located at PART IIIA: IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV. IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information) Part IIIB: Step 2 Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. O.000% 15.224% 15.921% NA	
To look up if a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list located at X:\Construction Prequalification PART IIIA: IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV. IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information) Part IIIB: step 2 Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW	
To look up if a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list located at X:\Construction Prequalification PART IIIA: IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV. step 1 IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information) Part IIIB: step 2 Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW.	
PART IIIA: IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV. step 1 IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information) Part IIIB: step 2 Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW	
IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV. IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information) Part IIIB: step 2 Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW	
step 1 IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information) Part IIIB: step 2 Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW	
Step 1 IF APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL, CONTINUE TO TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information) Part IIIB: Step 2 Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW	
Part IIIB: step 2 Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW	
Part IIIB: step 2 Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW	
above for apparent low bid and bidder information) Part IIIB: step 2 Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW	
step 2 Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. 0.000% 15.224% 15.921% NA	
step 2 Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. 0.000% 15.224% 15.921% NA	
step 2 Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. 0.000% 15.224% 15.921% NA	
Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW	
Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW	A NA NA
step 3 BID? YES NO NO NO	A NA NA
If step 3 is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. step 4 DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO	
CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)?	
step 5 INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO (\$0)	
Part IIIC:	
step 6 REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB: \$ 2,472,064.43 Manually Enter Amount	
step 7 REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB: Underground Utilities Manually Enter Information	

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

ental Preference luated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer or apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA" rent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" b nt/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bi	\$ 2,472,064.43 4.43 Apparent Low Bidder: NO NO NA	COMPANY NAME Fields Bid Amount \$ 2,848,404.35	(from Tabs I, II and III): rom Tabs I, II and III): COMPANY NAME Elite Bid Amount \$ 2,865,636.40 Ind Utilities NA YES	COMPANY NAME NA Bid Amount NA	Undergrou \$ COMPANY NAME NA Bid Amount NA	COMPANY NAME NA Bid Amount NA
690236-100094 Evan DiSanto, P.E. \$ 3,411,710. ental Preference \$ 2,472,064 ental Preference luated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer or apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA" rent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bint/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bid	Underground .71 Bid Amount \$ 2,472,064.43 1.43 Apparent Low Bidder: NO NO NA	COMPANY NAME Fields Bid Amount \$ 2,848,404.35 Undergrou	COMPANY NAME Elite Bid Amount \$ 2,865,636.40 and Utilities	NA Bid Amount	COMPANY NAME NA Bid Amount	COMPANY NAME NA Bid Amount
Evan DiSanto, P.E. \$ 3,411,710. ental Preference \$ 2,472,064 ental Preference luated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer or apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA" rent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid nt/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bi	Underground .71 Bid Amount \$ 2,472,064.43 1.43 Apparent Low Bidder: NO NO NA	Fields Bid Amount \$ 2,848,404.35 Undergrou	Elite Bid Amount \$ 2,865,636.40 Ind Utilities	NA Bid Amount	NA Bid Amount	NA Bid Amount
Evan DiSanto, P.E. \$ 3,411,710. ental Preference \$ 2,472,064 ental Preference luated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer or apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA" rent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid nt/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bi	Underground .71 Bid Amount \$ 2,472,064.43 1.43 Apparent Low Bidder: NO NO NA	Fields Bid Amount \$ 2,848,404.35 Undergrou	Elite Bid Amount \$ 2,865,636.40 Ind Utilities	NA Bid Amount	NA Bid Amount	NA Bid Amount
\$ 3,411,710. ental Preference \$ 2,472,064 ental Preference luated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer or apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA" rent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid nt/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bi	.71 Bid Amount \$ 2,472,064.43 4.43 Apparent Low Bidder: NO NO NA	Bid Amount \$ 2,848,404.35 Undergrou	Bid Amount \$ 2,865,636.40 Ind Utilities	Bid Amount	Bid Amount	Bid Amount
ental Preference \$ 2,472,064 ental Preference luated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer or apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA" rent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid nt/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bid	\$ 2,472,064.43 4.43 Apparent Low Bidder: NO NO NA	\$ 2,848,404.35 Undergrou	\$ 2,865,636.40 and Utilities			
\$ 2,472,064 ental Preference luated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer or apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA" rent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" b nt/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bi	Apparent Low Bidder: NO NO NA	Undergrou	nd Utilities	NA	NA NA	NA
\$ 2,472,064 ental Preference luated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer or apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA" rent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" b nt/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bi	n NO	NA	NA			
ental Preference luated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer or apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA" rent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" b nt/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bi	n NO					
luated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer or apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA" rent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" be nt/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bi	oid? NA					
or apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA" rent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" b nt/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bi	oid? NA					
nt/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bi		YES	YES			
	id,					
then the apparent/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bid, then the apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps 4-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5.						
valuated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bi 6 and 7.	id,					
Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non-environmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid.		15.224%	15.921%	NA	NA	NA
Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied).		\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	NA	NA	NA
Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it for both		\$ 2,828,404.35	\$ 2,845,636.40	NA	NA	NA
unt: \$ 2,472,064.43 Low Bidder:	Underground Utilities					
Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied). P 6 Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it for both		s environmental preference, except if both claim environmental hat case, both claiming EP cancels it for both \$ 2,472,064.43	s environmental preference, except if both claim environmental nat case, both claiming EP cancels it for both Underground	s environmental preference, except if both claim environmental nat case, both claiming EP cancels it for both Underground \$ 2,472,064.43 \$ 2,828,404.35 \$ 2,845,636.40	s environmental preference, except if both claim environmental nat case, both claiming EP cancels it for both Underground \$ 2,472,064.43 \$ 2,828,404.35 \$ 2,845,636.40 NA	s environmental preference, except if both claim environmental nat case, both claiming EP cancels it for both Underground \$ 2,472,064.43 \$ 2,828,404.35 \$ 2,845,636.40 NA NA Underground

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

ORD #2318-2020

TAB 6: U.S. and Ohio EPA Requirements

TAB 6: version: 2/22/2017	U.S. and Ohio EPA Requirements							
		Line Improvements, Phase 2		Bid Date:		September 23, 2020		
Department/Division: Public Utilities / Water		Initial Apparent Low Bidder:				Underground		
Section:			Initial Apparent Low Bid :			\$ -		
	Bids from Apparent lowest to highest:		2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	
CIP No.	•		COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	COMPANY NAME	
Project Manager			Fields	Elite	\$ -			
	Ingineer's Estimate: \$ 3,411,710.71		Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	Initial Bid Amount	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Initial Bid Amount \$ 2,472,064.43	\$ 2,848,404.35	\$ 2,865,636.40	\$ -			
		15.22%	0.60%	-100.00%				
Responsiveness Cri	teria, WPCLF/WSRLA Compliance	A "NO" answer will no submitted prior to con schedule.						
FORM B10	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the Contractor Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Certification Form		Yes	Yes				
FORM B11	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the US EPA Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters Form		Yes	Yes				
FORM B12.2	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has provided a completed and signed US EPA Form 6100-3 from each proposed subcontractor	No	Yes	No				
FORM B12.3	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned US EPA Form 6100-4	No	Yes	No				
GOOD FAITH EFFORTS	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has NOT met the EPA Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Utilization Goals of 1.3% MBE and 1.0% WBE, so documentation of Good Faith Efforts to solicit DBE particiaption has been provided.	Yes	NA	Yes				
FORM B14	The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has completed, signed, and returned the American Iron and Steel (AIS) Acknowledgement Form	Yes	Yes	Yes				
			1					
	LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I	\$ 2,472,064.43		Manually Enter Amo	unt			
	LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB 6	Underground Utilities	Manually Enter Information					

TAB V. Miscellaneous

Apparent Low Bidder/Bid:	Underground Utilities	\$	2,472,064.43	
Project:	Thomas Lane Area Water Line Improvements, Phase 2			

Other "responsible" requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

Bidders	Underground	Fields	Elite	0	0	0
Bid	\$ 2,472,064.43	\$ 2,848,404.35	\$ 2,865,636.40	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Percent Difference btwn Bid						
and Next Highest Bid	15.22%	0.60%	-100.00%			

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

YES YES

Bid Guarantee Requirements

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarantee submitted?

YES YES

Bid Bond

Bond on City's bond form Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Contract ComplianceAre all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed.

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?

If yes, business entity shall register with the Ohio Secretary of State's Office for the purpose of accepting

service of summons, in any action in law or equity, or both, brought in the State of Ohio.

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid http://www.sos.state.oh.us/

YES NO

N/A

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

Project Name: Thomas Lane Area Water Line Improvements, Phase 2

Recommended Bidder: Underground Utilities, Inc.

Contract Amount: \$ 2,472,064.43

Evaluator's Name: Missy Smith
Evaluation Completion Date: 9/28/2020

ORD #2318-2020

TAB VII: Notes

Overall bid questions:

(IC-c): 1. Are there any technical requirements / specifications required? If so,

does the lowest bidder meet them?

PM's responses:

Bidder meets requirements

Underground Utilities:

(IIA-f & g): Meet deadlines?

Did not list beginning dates so cannot evaluate. PM should respond.

(Tab 6, Loan

B13.2 & Listed Rath Builders (EDGE) 2.4% to meet goals. Submitted GFE.

B13.3)

Not an issue.