

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: 2/22/2017

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT: | Security Enhancements 910 Dublin Rd. | Bid Date: | |
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities / Water | Initial Apparent Low Bidder: | Setterlin |
| Section: | Technical Support / Supply | Initial Apparent Low Bid : | \$ 1,008,000.00 |

| Bids from Apparent lowest to highest: | | Apparent Low | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| CIP No. | 690479-100002, CT No. 1066-Pt. | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME |
| Project Manager: | Miriam C. Siegfried, P.E. | 2K General | Elford | Setterlin | | | |
| Engineer's Estimate: | \$1,560,000.00 | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount |
| | | \$1,138,800.00 | \$ 1,647,859.20 | \$ 1,008,000.00 | | | |
| | | 44.70% | -38.83% | -100.00% | | | |

| PART IA: Responsiveness Criteria, General Provisions (329.20) | | INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|------------|------------|--|--|--|
| a | The bidder is pre-qualified responsible or prequalified provisionally responsible at time of bid due date. (329.20)(c) | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| b | The bid contains the full name of every person or company interested in the same and such other relevant information as the city agency deems appropriate. (329.20)(g) FORM B1 | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| c | The bidder submitted , with bid, an affidavit stating: (1) That as of the date of bid submission, there have been no changes in the information disclosed in its application for responsibility prequalification; or (2) That changes in the information disclosed in the bidder's application for responsibility prequalification have been reported to director of finance and management or designee and that the bidder is still prequalified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(d) FORM B9 | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| d | There is no evidence of collusion among the bidders. (329.20)(e) | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| | BID IS: | RESPONSIVE | RESPONSIVE | RESPONSIVE | | | |

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: 2/22/2017

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT: | Security Enhancements 910 Dublin Rd. | Bid Date: | |
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities / Water | Initial Apparent Low Bidder: | Setterlin |
| Section: | Technical Support / Supply | Initial Apparent Low Bid : | \$ 1,008,000.00 |

| Bids from Apparent lowest to highest: | | Apparent Low | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th |
|---|--|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| CIP No. | 690479-100002, CT No. 1066-Pt. | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME |
| Project Manager: | Miriam C. Siegfried, P.E. | 2K General | Elford | Setterlin | | | |
| Engineer's Estimate: | \$1,560,000.00 | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount |
| | | \$1,138,800.00 | \$ 1,647,859.20 | \$ 1,008,000.00 | | | |
| PART IB: Responsiveness Criteria, Subcontractors (329.20) | | INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid) | | | | | |
| a | The bidder provided a list of subcontractors with bid or indicated there were not any subcontracting opportunities. (329.20)(h)(1) FORM B5 | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| b | If subcontractors are listed, Bidder indicated which subcontractors are licensed construction trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h)(2) FORM B6 (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| c | If licensed trade subcontractors are listed, the bidder proposed pre-qualified responsible or provisionally responsible licensed trade subcontractors. (329.20)(h) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") | YES | YES | NO | | | |
| d | If subcontractors are listed, the bidder listed the division/section of work under which the sub is performing. (329.20)(h)(5) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") FORM B6 | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| e | If subcontractors are listed and the bidder listed more than one subcontractor per division/section of work, did the bidder explain why more than one sub is listed for the division/section of work? (329.20)(h)(5) (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") FORM B6 | NA | NA | NA | | | |
| f | Bidder stated, via affidavit , that its proposed licensed construction trade subcontractors are pre-qualified responsible or provisionally responsible. (329.20)(h)(3) Form B9 (If no licensed trade subcontractors are listed, enter "NA") | YES | YES | NA | | | |
| g | Bidder did not bid subcontractors who were currently suspended or debarred by the city. (329.20)(h)(4) (see links on Tab 5) | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| | BID IS: | RESPONSIVE | RESPONSIVE | NON-RESPONSIVE | | | |

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: 2/22/2017

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT: | Security Enhancements 910 Dublin Rd. | Bid Date: | |
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities / Water | Initial Apparent Low Bidder: | Setterlin |
| Section: | Technical Support / Supply | Initial Apparent Low Bid : | \$ 1,008,000.00 |

| Bids from Apparent lowest to highest: | | Apparent Low | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th |
|---|--|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| CIP No. | 690479-100002, CT No. 1066-Pt. | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME |
| Project Manager: | Miriam C. Siegfried, P.E. | 2K General | Elford | Setterlin | | | |
| Engineer's Estimate: | \$1,560,000.00 | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount |
| | | \$1,138,800.00 | \$ 1,647,859.20 | \$ 1,008,000.00 | | | |
| PART IC: Responsiveness Criteria, Bid Specific (329.23) | | INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (all "yes" answers needed for responsive bid) | | | | | |
| a | Bidder did not submit more than one bid for same work. (See referenced code section for full text.) (329.23)(f)(1)(a) | YES | YES | | | | |
| b | Bidder acknowledged addenda. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) BID SIGNATURE PAGE, page 2 | YES | YES | | | | |
| c | Bidder complied with technical pre-qualification requirements/specification requirements where applicable. (329.23)(f)(1)(c) | YES | YES | | | | |
| d | The bid contains no conditions or qualifications not provided in the invitation for bid. (329.23)(f)(1)(d) | YES | YES | | | | |
| e | Bidder added no provisions reserving right to accept or reject award. (329.23)(f)(1)(f) | YES | YES | | | | |
| f | Bidder submitted a bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2, FORM B3 | YES | YES | | | | |
| g | Bidder did not submit an irregular bid guarantee. (329.23)(f)(1)(i) FORM B2, FORM B3 | YES | YES | | | | |
| h | Bid prices are materially balanced. (329.23)(f)(1)(b) FORM B4 | YES | YES | | | | |
| i | Bid contains no irregularities or deviations from the bid that affect bid amount. (329.23)(f)(1)(j) and (329.23)(f)(1)(e) | YES | YES | | | | |
| j | Bidder submitted a unit price for each contract item listed, if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(g) FORM B4 (If a unit price is not an option, enter "NA") | NA | NA | | | | |
| k | Bidder submitted a lump sum price if required. (329.23)(f)(1)(h) FORM B4 (If lump sum is not an option, enter "NA") | YES | YES | | | | |
| l | Bidder has complied with section 329.20(h) regarding subcontractors. (329.23)(f)(1)(k) FORM B6 | YES | YES | | | | |
| m | Bid does not contain alterations, omissions, errors, such that it does respond to the bid documents. (329.23)(f)(1)(j) | YES | YES | | | | |
| n | Bid responds to bid documents in all material respects. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) | YES | YES | | | | |

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: 2/22/2017

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT: | Security Enhancements 910 Dublin Rd. | Bid Date: | |
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities / Water | Initial Apparent Low Bidder: | Setterlin |
| Section: | Technical Support / Supply | Initial Apparent Low Bid : | \$ 1,008,000.00 |

| Bids from Apparent lowest to highest: | | Apparent Low | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| CIP No. | 690479-100002, CT No. 1066-Pt. | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME |
| Project Manager: | Miriam C. Siegfried, P.E. | 2K General | Elford | Setterlin | | | |
| Engineer's Estimate: | \$1,560,000.00 | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount |
| | | \$1,138,800.00 | \$ 1,647,859.20 | \$ 1,008,000.00 | | | |
| o | Bid is complete. (329.23)(f)(1)(e) | YES | YES | | | | |
| | BID IS: | RESPONSIVE | RESPONSIVE | | | | |

TAB I: Assessing Responsiveness

version: 2/22/2017

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| PROJECT: | Security Enhancements 910 Dublin Rd. | Bid Date: | |
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities / Water | Initial Apparent Low Bidder: | Setterlin |
| Section: | Technical Support / Supply | Initial Apparent Low Bid : | \$ 1,008,000.00 |

| Bids from Apparent lowest to highest: | | Apparent Low | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| CIP No. | 690479-100002, CT No. 1066-Pt. | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME |
| Project Manager: | Miriam C. Siegfried, P.E. | 2K General | Elford | Setterlin | | | |
| Engineer's Estimate: | \$1,560,000.00 | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount |
| | | \$1,138,800.00 | \$ 1,647,859.20 | \$ 1,008,000.00 | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| PART ID: Responsiveness Criteria, Contract Compliance | | A "no" answer in Part IE will not necessarily render a bid non-responsive. Bidder must have a valid contract compliance no. prior to contract execution. | | | | | |
| a | The "Evaluated Low Bidder" has an active contract compliance number at the time of bid. | YES | YES | YES | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Bid Responsiveness, Waiver Considerations: | Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II | Bid Responsive, Continue to Part II | Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office | Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office | Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office | Waiver Legislation Required to Contract; Contact Fiscal Office |
| Proceed to Part II? | YES | YES | NO | | | |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID, TAB I | \$ 1,138,800.00 | ← Manually Enter Amount |
| LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER, TAB I | 2K General | ← Manually Enter Information |

TAB II: Assessing Responsibility

| | | | |
|-----------------|---|------------------|------------------------|
| PROJECT: | Security Enhancements 910 Dublin Rd. | Bid Date: | January 0, 1900 |
|-----------------|---|------------------|------------------------|

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities / Water | Responsive Low Bidder (from Tab I): | 2K General |
| Section: | Technical Support / Supply | Responsive Low Bid (from Tab I): | \$ 1,138,800.00 |

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| CIP No. | 690479-100002, CT No. 1066-Pt. | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME |
| Project Manager: | Miriam C. Siegfried, P.E. | 2K General | Elford | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Engineer's Estimate: | \$ 1,560,000.00 | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount | Initial Bid Amount |
| | | \$ 1,138,800.00 | \$ 1,647,859.20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| PART IIA: 329.23 (2) Responsibility Criteria | | INPUT "YES" FOR POSITIVE RESPONSE OR "NO" FOR NEGATIVE RESPONSE (1 point for each "yes", 0 for "no") | | | | | |
|---|---|---|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| a | Based on bid amount (as compared to other bids) no further documentation needed (i.e., bid statistically comparable to others). FORM B3- Bid Price and Amount | YES | NA | | | | |
| b | The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT for the project. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources | YES | YES | | | | |
| c | The bidder has demonstrated to the city's satisfaction adequate and appropriate HUMAN RESOURCES for the project. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources | YES | YES | | | | |
| d | The bidder has actively engaged in the construction industry. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources | YES | YES | | | | |
| e | The bidder has experience in the area of construction service for which the bid has been submitted. FORM B6, Experience, Comptency & Resources | YES | YES | | | | |
| f | The bidder has a successful record of complying with and meeting completion deadlines. FORM B7 | YES | YES | | | | |
| g | The bidder has a successful record of controlling costs on similar construction projects. FORM B7 | YES | YES | | | | |
| h | The bidder has no (substantial) uncompleted work that would hinder the success of the project. FORM B8 | YES | YES | | | | |
| Responsibility Score: | | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Continue to TAB 3? | | YES | YES | | | | |

↑
Manually Enter Information

TAB III. Assessing Local Bidder

| | | | |
|----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| PROJECT: | Security Enhancements 910 Dublin Rd. | Bid Date: | January 0, 1900 |
|----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|

| | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities / Water | Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I and II): | 2K General |
| Section: | Technical Support / Supply | Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I and II) : | \$ 1,138,800.00 |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| CIP No. | 690479-100002, CT No. 1066-Pt | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME |
| Project Manager: | Miriam C. Siegfried, P.E. | 2K General | Elford | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Engineer's Estimate: | \$ 1,560,000.00 | Bid Amount | Bid Amount | Bid Amount | Bid Amount | Bid Amount | Bid Amount |
| | | \$ 1,138,800.00 | \$ 1,647,859.20 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| PART III: 329.(01)(u) and 329.212 Local Bidder | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|----|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | IS BID LOCAL? | NO | YES | | | | |
|--|---------------|----|-----|--|--|--|--|

To look up if a bidder is local, use the Office of Construction Prequalification's list located at

[X:\Construction Prequalification](#)

| | |
|------------|--|
| PART IIIA: | |
| step 1 | <p>IF <u>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS LOCAL</u> STOP. Skip steps 2-5 and enter data in Steps 6 & 7 and continue to TAB IV.</p> <p>IF <u>APPARENT LOW BID IN PART II IS NOT LOCAL</u>, CONTINUE TO STEP 2 (see above for apparent low bid and bidder information)</p> |

| | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|--------|---------|----|----|----|----|
| Part IIIB: | | | | | | | |
| step 2 | Percentage difference of bid from apparent NON-LOCAL, LOW BID. | 0.000% | 44.701% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| step 3 | Is amount in prior step WITHIN ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE LOW BID? | YES | NO | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| step 4 | If step 3 is "yes", SEE PROCEDURES ON SHAREPOINT SITE. DID AGENCY FOLLOW PROCEDURES FOR BIDDER RELATING TO CITY CODE SECTIONS 329.212 (INDICATE IF NA)? | | | | | | |
| step 5 | INPUT NEW BID AMOUNT FOR BIDDER, IF APPLICABLE, OR ZERO (\$0) | | | | | | |

| | |
|------------|---|
| Part IIIC: | |
| step 6 | REVISED LOW BID AMOUNT, TAB IIIB: \$ 1,138,800.00 |
| step 7 | REVISED LOW BIDDER, TAB IIIB: 2K General |

Manually Enter Amount

Manually Enter Information

TAB IV. Calculating Environmental Preference

| | | | |
|-----------------|---|------------------|------------------------|
| PROJECT: | Security Enhancements 910 Dublin Rd. | Bid Date: | January 0, 1900 |
|-----------------|---|------------------|------------------------|

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| Department/Division: | Public Utilities / Water | Evaluated Low Bidder (from Tabs I, II and III): | 2K General |
| Section: | Technical Support / Supply | Evaluated Low Bid (from Tabs I, II and III) : | \$ 1,138,800 |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| CIP No. | 690479-100002, CT No. 1066-Pt. 3 | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME | COMPANY NAME |
| Project Manager: | Miriam C. Siegfried, P.E. | 2K General | Elford | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Engineer's Estimate: | \$ 1,560,000.00 | Bid Amount | Bid Amount | Bid Amount | Bid Amount | Bid Amount | Bid Amount |
| | | \$ 1,138,800.00 | \$ 1,647,859.20 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| PART IV: 329.(17) Environmental Preference | | | | | | | |
| Apparent Low Bid: | \$ 1,138,800.00 | Apparent Low Bidder: | 2K General | | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PART IV: 329.(17) Environmental Preference | | | | | | | |
| step 1 | Did apparent/evaluated low bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? Answer "YES" or "NO" for apparent low bidder. For all other bidder's, input "NA" | YES | NA | | | | |
| step 2 | Excluding apparent low bidder, did bidder submit "environmentally preferable" bid? | NA | YES | | | | |
| step 3 | STOP. If apparent/evaluated low bidder submitted an environmentally preferable bid, then the apparent/evaluated low bidder is lead bidder for Tab 4. Ignore the data in Steps 4-6, complete Step 7, and proceed to Tab 5. If the apparent/evaluated low bidder did not submit an environmentally preferable bid, proceed to Steps 6 and 7. | | | | | | |
| step 4 | Calculate environmental preference for each bid. It is up to five percent (5%) of the non-environmental low bid, for a maximum of \$20,000 per bid. | 0.000% | 44.701% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| step 5 | Preference amount for each eligible bid (if 0, then no environmental preference was applied). | \$ - | \$ 20,000.00 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| step 6 | Bid amount less environmental preference, except if both claim environmental preference; in that case, both claiming EP cancels it for both | \$ 1,138,800.00 | \$ 1,627,859.20 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| step 7 | Low Bid Amount: \$ 1,138,800.00 | Low Bidder: | 2K General | | | | |

↑
Manually Enter Amount

↑
Manually Enter Information

TAB V. Miscellaneous

| | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Apparent Low Bidder/Bid: | 2K General | \$ 1,138,800.00 |
| Project: | Security Enhancements 910 Dublin Rd. | |

Other "responsible"
requirements 329.23(f)(2)(e)

With respect to a bidder whose bid is determined to be 10% or more below that of the next lowest bidder, [do they have] supplemental details regarding the bid and/or historical information regarding performance and costs on similar contracts to demonstrate the bidder's ability to complete the contract at the price specified.

| | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|------|------|
| Bidders | 2K General | Elford | Setterlin | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bid | \$ 1,138,800.00 | \$ 1,647,859.20 | \$ 1,008,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Percent Difference btwn Bid and Next Highest Bid | 44.70% | -38.83% | -100.00% | | | |

Is any bidder 10% or more below the next bidder?

YES

If yes, does the lower bidder's TAB 2 evaluation have 'yes' answers for questions c, d, g, and h?

YES

If yes, low bidder is "responsible" under this provision.

Bid Guarantee Requirements

Below are the required documents for each bid guarantee type allowed.

Is the guarantee greater than or equal to 10% of the bid?

YES

Did the bidder submit all of the required documents based upon the guarantee submitted?

YES

Bid Bond

Bond on City's bond form

Surety power of attorney

Most recent surety financial statement

Current Ohio Dept. of Insurance Certificate of Compliance

Letter of Credit

LOC on City's LOC form

Certified/Cashier's Check

Drawn on a solvent bank

Contract Compliance

Are all subcontractors contract compliant? Required before the contract can be executed.

NO

If there are not any subcontractors, choose N/A.

Foreign/Non-Ohio Corp

Is the corporation foreign or the individual or partnership a non-resident of the State of Ohio?

NO

If yes, then did they file with the Secretary of State a power of attorney designating them or their agent or the Secretary of State, as agent, for the purpose of accepting service of summons, in any action in law or equity, or both, brought in the State of Ohio

N/A

This is required before the contract is awarded. "Awarded" means before the Director accepts/approves the bid

<http://www.sos.state.oh.us/>

Award recommendation: After evaluation of the bids, I recommend the following contractor be awarded the contract for:

| | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Project Name: | Security Enhancements 910 Dublin Rd. |
| Recommended Bidder: | 2K General Construction Co. |
| Contract Amount: | \$ 1,138,800.00 |

| | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Evaluator's Name: | M. Smith |
| Evaluation Completion Date: | 11/6/2020 |

Additional Information for Departments:

State of Ohio - Ohio Auditor

This is the link to the State of Ohio Auditor's web site to check for "findings for recovery"

<https://ohioauditor.gov/findings.html>

| | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Apparent Low Bidder/Bid: | 2K General | \$ 1,138,800.00 |
| Project: | Security Enhancements 910 Dublin Rd. | |

Federal - System for Award Management

This is the link to the Federal System for Awards Management site to check for "findings for recovery"

<https://www.sam.gov/portal/SAM/#1>

City of Columbus

Contact the Purchasing Office if you have a question about the City's list of debarred vendors.

State of Ohio Debarment

This is the link to multiple state agencies that lists debarred companies.

<http://ofcc.ohio.gov/Resources/Debarments.aspx>

OSHA

This is the link to the US Department of Labor's website for OSHA violations

<https://www.osha.gov>

City of Columbus Office of Prequalifications

Pre-Qualification Office email:

prequalification@columbus.gov

Pre-Qualification Office phone no:

645-0359

TAB VII: Notes

Overall bid question:

PM's responses:

(IC-c):

1. Are there any technical requirements / specifications required? If so, does the lowest bidder meet them?

2K General meets technical requirements, has experience in Secure Infrastructure projects, has licensed electrical subcontractor.

2K General Construction:

(IIA-a):

Statistically Comparable:
27% lower than Engineer's Estimate and 13% higher than Setterlin's bid (non-responsive). Marked "Yes".

(IIA-f & g): Meet costs/deadlines?

Met 2/3 deadlines and costs. Change Order info not provided. Marked "Yes".

(Tab 5)

Subs contract compliant?
Commercial Glass Systems needs to register under their new FID# but will wait until new D365 system up in January 2021.

Elford:

(IIA-a):

Statistically Comparable:
6% higher than Engineer's Estimate but 45% higher than 2K General and 64% higher than Setterlin (non-responsive). Marked "NA" to continue evaluation.

Setterlin:

Deemed Non-Responsive for listing Knight Electric as a prequalified sub but they are not. Confirmed by Bianaca Bell w/Office of Construction Prequalification (they submitted application 10/29/20). 11/5/20: City Attorney also stated they are considered Non-Responsive.